COSEWIC
Assessment and Status Report

on the

Loggerhead Shrike
Lanius ludovicianus

Prairie subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*
Eastern subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.

in Canada



Prairie subspecies - THREATENED
Eastern subspecies - ENDANGERED
2014

COSEWIC
Committee on the Status
of Endangered Wildlife
in Canada

COSEPAC
Comité sur la situation
des espèces en péril
au Canada

COSEWIC status reports are working documents used in assigning the status of wildlife species suspected of being at risk. This report may be cited as follows:

COSEWIC. 2014. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp. and the Prairie subspecies *Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xiii + 51 pp. (www.registrelep-sararegistry.gc.ca/default_e.cfm).

Previous report(s):

COSEWIC. 2004. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the Loggerhead Shrike *excubitorides* subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vi + 24 pp. (www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm).

COSEWIC. 2000. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the Loggerhead Shrike *migrans* subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus migrans* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. viii + 13 pp. (www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm)

James, R.D. 2000. Update COSEWIC status report on the Loggerhead Shrike *migrans* subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus migrans* in Canada, *in* COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Loggerhead Shrike *migrans* subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus migrans* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 1-13

Cadman, M.D. 1991. Update COSEWIC status report on the Loggerhead Shrike (Eastern population) *Lanius ludovicianus migrans* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 26 pp.

Cadman, M.D. 1986. COSEWIC status report on the Loggerhead Shrike *Lanius ludovicianus* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 100 pp.

Production note:
COSEWIC acknowledges Amy Chabot for writing the status report on the Loggerhead Shrike (Eastern and Prairie subspecies), *Lanius ludovicianus*, prepared under contract with Environment Canada. This report was overseen and edited by Jon McCracken, Co-chair of the Birds Specialist Subcommittee.

For additional copies contact:

COSEWIC Secretariat
c/o Canadian Wildlife Service
Environment Canada
Ottawa, ON
K1A 0H3

Tel.: 819-953-3215
Fax: 819-994-3684
E-mail: COSEWIC/COSEPAC@ec.gc.ca
http://www.cosewic.gc.ca

Également disponible en français sous le titre Évaluation et Rapport de situation du COSEPAC sur la Pie-grièche migratrice de la sous-espèce de l'Est (*Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.) et la sous-espèce des Prairies (*Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*) au Canada.

Cover illustration/photo:
Loggerhead Shrike — Photo by Larry Kirtley (used with permission).

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, 2014.
Catalogue No CW69-14/390-2014E-PDF
ISBN 978-1-100-23939-2

Recycled paper

COSEWIC
Assessment Summary

Assessment Summary – May 2014

Common name
Loggerhead Shrike Prairie subspecies

Scientific name
Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides

Status
Threatened

Reason for designation
In the Prairie provinces, this grassland bird species has been experiencing large-scale population declines and range contractions, since at least the 1970s. Its population has declined by as much as 47% over the past 10 years. These declines are primarily related to loss of suitable grassland habitat on both the breeding and wintering grounds.

Occurrence
Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba

Status history
The species was considered a single unit and designated Threatened in April 1986. Split according to subspecies in April 1991. The *excubitorides* subspecies retained the original Threatened designation from April 1986. Status re-examined and confirmed in May 2004 and May 2014.

Assessment Summary – May 2014

Common name
Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies

Scientific name
Lanius ludovicianus ssp.

Status
Endangered

Reason for designation
In eastern Canada, this grassland bird species has been experiencing large-scale population declines and range contractions since at least 1970. There has been a 26% observed reduction in the number of mature individuals over the last 10 years in Ontario. These declines are primarily related to loss of suitable grassland habitat on both the breeding and wintering grounds. The Canadian population now numbers fewer than 110 mature individuals.

Occurrence
Ontario, Québec

Status history
The species was considered a single unit and designated Threatened in April 1986. Split according to subspecies (*excubitorides* and *migrans*) in April 1991, and each received separate designations. The *migrans* subspecies was de-activated in May 2014 in recognition of new genetic information indicating that some of the individuals in southeastern Manitoba should not have been included in the *migrans* subspecies. Further split into a new unnamed subspecies (Eastern subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.) in May 2014 and was designated Endangered.

COSEWIC
Executive Summary

Loggerhead Shrike
Lanius ludovicianus

Prairie subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*
Eastern subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.

Wildlife Species Description and Significance

The Loggerhead Shrike is a medium-sized songbird, about 21-23 cm in length. Males and females are similar in appearance. The top of the head, back and rump are dark grey; the underparts are white to greyish. The wings are largely black but a white wing patch is conspicuous in flight. The tail feathers are black, with some tipped with white. A black facial mask covers the eye and extends over the beak. The Loggerhead Shrike is notable for its raptor-like beak, and predatory and impaling behaviours. It may also be useful as a bio-indicator or 'flagship' species for grassland birds of high conservation concern.

Distribution

The Loggerhead Shrike occurs only in North America. In western Canada, it occurs from southwestern Alberta, through southern Saskatchewan and into southern Manitoba. In eastern Canada, it is now found reliably in only two areas in southern Ontario, and occurs only sporadically in southwestern Québec. The species is a seasonal migrant. The wintering grounds of Canadian birds overlap with those of permanent residents in the U.S.

Two designatable units of Loggerhead Shrike occur in Canada: the 'Prairie' subspecies of Loggerhead Shrike (*L. l. excubitorides)* found in Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta, and the 'Eastern' subspecies found in Ontario and Québec. The latter was hitherto assigned as *L. l. migrans,* but new genetics information shows that it is actually a unique genetic group representing an as yet unnamed subspecies.

Habitat

Loggerhead Shrike breeding habitat is characterized by open areas dominated by grasses and/or forbs, interspersed with scattered shrubs or trees and bare ground. Suitable habitat includes pasture, old fields prairie, savannah, pinyon-juniper woodland, shrub-steppe and alvar. Winter and migration habitat are similar to breeding habitat requirements. Territory size ranges from 2.7 to 47.0 ha, and correlates with the abundance of trees and shrubs – increasing perch density will decrease territory size. Tree and shrub species that are relatively dense and extensively branched are preferred as nest sites.

Biology

Loggerhead Shrikes return to Canadian breeding areas as early as late March. Clutch size averages 5-6 eggs. Incubation lasts 16-18 days. Young fledge at 16-20 days after hatch. Site reuse is high but variable, with males more often returning to previously held territories than females. Adult fidelity is greater than natal fidelity. Site fidelity appears to be correlated with nesting success in the previous season.

Population Sizes and Trends

The Loggerhead Shrike has experienced long-term persistent declines across its breeding range in North America and Canada. Numbering about 55,000 birds, the Prairie subspecies in Canada has been in a state of decline since at least 1970, with losses of about 47% occurring over the past 10 years. The Eastern subspecies has also experienced large declines in population size and breeding range. Fewer than 100 individuals now remain in Ontario, and fewer than 10 occur in Québec. It has been extirpated in New Brunswick since the 1970s.

Threats and Limiting Factors

Habitat loss and degradation on both the breeding and wintering grounds have been correlated with rangewide population declines of Loggerhead Shrike. Road mortality, pesticides, predation and weather extremes have been suggested as additional causes of decline. West Nile virus has also been implicated in the death of shrikes, but the severity of this threat is currently unknown.

Protection, Status, and Ranks

The Loggerhead Shrike is protected in Canada, Mexico, and the USA by the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*. Under Canada's *Species at Risk Act,* the Prairie subspecies (*L. l. excubitorides)* is currently listed as Threatened, while the Eastern subspecies (formerly called *L. l. migrans)* is listed as Endangered. Recovery strategies have been drafted for both units. Provincially, the Loggerhead Shrike is listed as a Sensitive Species and a Species of Special Concern in Alberta. It is Endangered in Manitoba and Ontario, and Threatened in Québec. It is endangered, threatened or a species of special concern in 26 states.

The vast majority of suitable Loggerhead Shrike habitat is under private ownership and thus the adequacy of legal protection is potentially of concern.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY #1 – Loggerhead Shrike Prairie subspecies

Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides

Loggerhead Shrike Prairie subspecies (Designatable Unit 1)

Pie-grièche migratrice de la sous-espèce des Prairies (Unités désignables 1)

Range of occurrence in Canada: Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba

Demographic Information

Generation time (average age of parents in the population)	3 yrs
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of mature individuals?	Yes
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within [5 years or 2 generations]	Unknown
Estimated percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over the last 10 years. Based on results from targeted shrike surveys from 2003 to 2013.	~47%
Projected percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over the next 10 years.	Unknown
Estimated percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over any 10 year period, over a time period including both the past and the future.	Unknown
Are the causes of the decline clearly reversible and understood and ceased? Not ceased and not clearly reversible.	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals?	No

Extent and Occupancy Information

Estimated extent of occurrence	375,100 km^2
Index of area of occupancy (IAO) IAO based upon the 2x2 km grid cell method cannot be calculated at this time because precise locations of nesting individuals have not been mapped. However, given the population size and distribution, the estimated IAO greatly exceeds the threshold of 2000 km^2	>2000 km^2
Is the total population severely fragmented?	No
Number of locations Based on the estimated number of breeding pairs occurring on individual properties	>1000
Is there an observed continuing decline in extent of occurrence? The species has retracted from southeastern Manitoba	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in index of area of occupancy? The species has retracted from southeastern Manitoba	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of populations?	No
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of locations? The species has retracted from southeastern Manitoba	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in area, extent and/or quality of habitat in Canada?	Yes
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	No

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

Population	N Mature Individuals
Alberta	15,000
Saskatchewan	39,600
Manitoba	100-200
Total	54,700-54,800

Quantitative Analysis

Probability of extinction in the wild is at least [20% within 20 years or 5 generations, or 10% within 100 years].	Not determined

Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

- Loss and degradation of breeding, migration and wintering habitat.
- Pesticides
- Road mortality of adults and fledglings.

Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

Status of outside population(s)?	Declining
Is immigration known or possible?	Yes
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	Yes
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	Yes
Is rescue from outside populations likely? The declining population in the U.S. cannot rescue the Canadian population.	No

Status History

Designated Special Concern in May 2014.

Status and Reasons for Designation

Status:	**Alpha-numeric code:**
Threatened	A2b

Reasons for designation:
In the Prairie provinces, this grassland bird species has been experiencing large-scale population declines and range contractions, since at least the 1970s. Its population has declined by as much as 47% over the past 10 years. These declines are primarily related to loss of suitable grassland habitat on both the breeding and wintering grounds.

Applicability of Criteria

Criterion A (Decline in Total Number of Mature Individuals): Meets criterion A2(b) for Threatened, because the number of mature individuals is estimated to have declined by greater than 30% over 10 years, and the causes of the decline have not ceased and are not clearly reversible.
Criterion B (Small Distribution Range and Decline or Fluctuation): Not applicable; exceeds thresholds for extent of occurrence and area of occupancy.
Criterion C (Small and Declining Number of Mature Individuals): Not applicable; exceeds thresholds for population size.
Criterion D (Very Small or Restricted Total Population): Not applicable; exceeds all thresholds.
Criterion E (Quantitative Analysis): Not done.

TECHNICAL SUMMARY #2 – Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies

Lanius ludovicianus ssp.
Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies
(Designatable Unit 2)
Range of occurrence in Canada: Ontario, Quebec

Pie-grièche migratrice de la sous-espèce de l'Est
(Unités désignables 2)

Demographic Information

Generation time (average age of parents in the population)	3 yrs
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of mature individuals?	Yes
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within 5 years.	Unknown
Observed percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over the last 10 years. Based on long-term results from targeted surveys in Ontario from 1992-2013	26%
Projected percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over the next 10 years.	Unknown
Estimated percent reduction in total number of mature individuals over any 10 years period, over a time period including both the past and the future.	Unknown
Are the causes of the decline clearly reversible and understood and ceased? Habitat loss on breeding, migration and wintering grounds is not reversible and is continuing	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals?	No

Extent and Occupancy Information

Estimated extent of occurrence	49,310 km^2
Index of area of occupancy (IAO) Less than 200 km^2, assuming that the maximum population size consists of 55 pairs and that some 2 x 2 km grid cells contain multiple pairs.	< 200 km^2
Is the total population severely fragmented?	No
Number of locations Based on the estimated number of breeding pairs	25-50
Is there an observed continuing decline in extent of occurrence?	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in index of area of occupancy?	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of populations?	No
Is there an observed continuing decline in number of locations?	Yes
Is there an observed continuing decline in area, extent and/or quality of habitat in Canada?	Yes
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	No

Number of Mature Individuals (in each population)

Population	N Mature Individuals
Ontario	50-100
Québec	10 (maximum)
Total	60-110

Quantitative Analysis

Probability of extinction in the wild is at least [20% within 20 years or 5 generations, or 10% within 100 years]. A Population Viability Analysis performed by Tischendorf (2009) indicated that extinction risk was <10% over the next 5 generations, but that extinction was almost certain within the next 100 years. The model predicted an estimated time to extinction of 43 years.	>95%

Threats (actual or imminent, to populations or habitats)

- Loss and degradation of breeding, migration and wintering habitat.
- Pesticides.
- Predation leading to reduced nesting success.
- Road mortality of adults and fledglings.

Rescue Effect (immigration from outside Canada)

Status of outside population(s)?	Essentially extirpated
Is immigration known or possible?	No
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Canada?	Yes
Is there sufficient habitat for immigrants in Canada?	Yes, but declining
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	No

Status History

The species was considered a single unit and designated Threatened in April 1986. Split according to subspecies (*excubitorides* and *migrans*) in April 1991, and each received separate designations. The *migrans* subspecies was de-activated in May 2014 in recognition of new genetic information indicating that some of the individuals in southeastern Manitoba should not have been included in the *migrans* subspecies. Further split into a new unnamed subspecies (Eastern subspecies, *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.) in May 2014 and was designated Endangered.

Status and Reasons for Designation

Status:	**Alpha-numeric code:**
Endangered	C2a(i); D1

Reasons for designation:
In eastern Canada, this grassland bird species has been experiencing large-scale population declines and range contractions since at least 1970. There has been a 26% observed reduction in the number of mature individuals over the last 10 years in Ontario. These declines are primarily related to loss of suitable grassland habitat on both the breeding and wintering grounds. The Canadian population now numbers fewer than 110 mature individuals.

Applicability of Criteria

Criterion A:
Not applicable; the estimated rate of 10-year decline (26%) is less than thresholds.

Criterion B: Not applicable. Although IAO is <500 km² and there has been a continuing decline in number of mature individuals and breeding range, no other subcriteria are met.
Criterion C: Meets the C2a(i) criterion for Endangered, because the number of mature individuals is less than 2500, a continuing decline has been observed, and no Canadian population contains >250 mature individuals.
Criterion D: Meets D1 criterion for Endangered because the population is <250 mature individuals.
Criterion E: Meets criterion for Threatened, because extinction risk is calculated to be 100% within 100 years.

PREFACE

During the past decade, research efforts for the Loggerhead Shrike have largely focused on three main areas: 1) documenting distribution and population trends; 2) assessing population genetic structure; and 3) gaining a better understanding of the wintering grounds of the species. Work has also been done in eastern Canada to assess age structure, site fidelity and immigration rates, based on a long-term colour banding program. Analyses linking occurrence with habitat attributes have been undertaken in Ontario. In Québec, an extensive mapping project was undertaken to identify the most likely sustainable region for the species in the province.

Based on nuclear DNA microsatellites in a broad-scale sample of birds in North America, the Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario now apparently represents a previously undocumented unique genetic cluster, significantly different from *L. l. migrans,* which it was previously thought to be. Within Canada, there are two designatable units, which are genetically distinct and show limited gene flow. At one time, the subspecies *L. l. excubitorides* and *L. l. migrans* likely hybridized in southeastern Manitoba; any remaining birds in that part of the province are likely representative of the Prairie subspecies. It is now thought that the previous COSEWIC status assessment of *L. l. migrans* probably should not have included birds in southeastern Manitoba.

As part of recovery efforts, habitat stewardship projects, focused on collaboration with private landowners, are ongoing in both western and eastern Canada. In Ontario and Québec, a captive-breeding and release program has shown some limited success.

COSEWIC HISTORY

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) was created in 1977 as a result of a recommendation at the Federal-Provincial Wildlife Conference held in 1976. It arose from the need for a single, official, scientifically sound, national listing of wildlife species at risk. In 1978, COSEWIC designated its first species and produced its first list of Canadian species at risk. Species designated at meetings of the full committee are added to the list. On June 5, 2003, the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) was proclaimed. SARA establishes COSEWIC as an advisory body ensuring that species will continue to be assessed under a rigorous and independent scientific process.

COSEWIC MANDATE

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assesses the national status of wild species, subspecies, varieties, or other designatable units that are considered to be at risk in Canada. Designations are made on native species for the following taxonomic groups: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, arthropods, molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, and lichens.

COSEWIC MEMBERSHIP

COSEWIC comprises members from each provincial and territorial government wildlife agency, four federal entities (Canadian Wildlife Service, Parks Canada Agency, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and the Federal Biodiversity Information Partnership, chaired by the Canadian Museum of Nature), three non-government science members and the co-chairs of the species specialist subcommittees and the Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge subcommittee. The Committee meets to consider status reports on candidate species.

DEFINITIONS
(2014)

Wildlife Species	A species, subspecies, variety, or geographically or genetically distinct population of animal, plant or other organism, other than a bacterium or virus, that is wild by nature and is either native to Canada or has extended its range into Canada without human intervention and has been present in Canada for at least 50 years.
Extinct (X)	A wildlife species that no longer exists.
Extirpated (XT)	A wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.
Endangered (E)	A wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.
Threatened (T)	A wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.
Special Concern (SC)*	A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.
Not at Risk (NAR)**	A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the current circumstances.
Data Deficient (DD)***	A category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the species' risk of extinction.

* Formerly described as "Vulnerable" from 1990 to 1999, or "Rare" prior to 1990.

** Formerly described as "Not In Any Category", or "No Designation Required."

*** Formerly described as "Indeterminate" from 1994 to 1999 or "ISIBD" (insufficient scientific information on which to base a designation) prior to 1994. Definition of the (DD) category revised in 2006.

Environment Canada | Environnement Canada
Canadian Wildlife Service | Service canadien de la faune
Canada

The Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, provides full administrative and financial support to the COSEWIC Secretariat.

COSEWIC Status Report

on the

Loggerhead Shrike
Lanius ludovicianus

Prairie subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*
Eastern subspecies - *Lanius ludovicianus* ssp.

in Canada

2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WILDLIFE SPECIES DESCRIPTION AND SIGNIFICANCE 5
- Name and Classification 5
- Morphological Description 5
- Population Spatial Structure and Variability 6
- Designatable Units 8
- Special Significance 9

DISTRIBUTION 10
- Global Range 10
- Canadian Range 11
- Extent of Occurrence and Area of Occupancy 13
- Search Effort 14

HABITAT 15
- Habitat Requirements 15
- Habitat Trends 18

BIOLOGY 19
- Life Cycle and Reproduction 19
- Physiology and Adaptability 21
- Dispersal and Migration 22
- Interspecific Interactions 25

POPULATION SIZES AND TRENDS 25
- Sampling Effort and Methods 25
- Abundance 26
- Fluctuations and Trends 28
- Rescue Effect 33

THREATS AND LIMITING FACTORS 34
- Breeding habitat loss/degradation 34
- Winter habitat loss/degradation 34
- Road mortality 35
- Pesticides 35
- Predation 36
- Post-fledging mortality 36
- Climate change 36
- Disease 36
- Other 37
- Number of Locations 37

PROTECTION, STATUS, AND RANKS 37
- Legal Protection and Status 37
- Non-Legal Status and Ranks 38
- Habitat Protection and Ownership 39

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AUTHORITIES CONTACTED 40
Authorities Contacted 40
BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARY OF REPORT WRITER 41
INFORMATION SOURCES 42

List of Figures

Figure 1. North American breeding distribution of the different Loggerhead Shrike subspecies. The numbered areas outlined by black lines are based on morphometric differences as delineated by Miller (1931). These are compared to genetic clusters, as denoted by different colours, based on genetic admixture coefficients of birds sampled by Chabot (2011a). Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot. 7

Figure 2. Estimated genetic population structure in the Loggerhead Shrike in Chabot (2011a). Each individual is represented by a vertical line. Shown are *k*=5 clusters, each represented by a different colour. Within each, individuals are sorted by their admixture coefficients (*Q*). Genetic clusters are labeled below the figure with trinomial names corresponding to Miller (1931) and the additional Eastern Loggerhead Shrike genetic cluster. Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot..... 8

Figure 3. North American distribution of Loggerhead Shrike in the breeding and wintering seasons, based on Yosef (1996). The Canadian range of the Prairie subspecies includes Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba. The Canadian range of the Eastern subspecies (southern Ontario and extreme southwestern Quebec) mostly lays within two core breeding areas..... 10

Figure 4. Canadian breeding range of the Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies, showing the polygon used to calculate Extent of Occurrence (map created by A. Filion)..... 12

Figure 5. Geospatial depiction of estimates of genetic relatedness for each genetic cluster for Loggerhead Shrikes sampled by Chabot (2011a). Darker areas indicate lower levels of gene flow among neighbouring sample areas. Lighter areas represent higher levels of gene flow among neighbouring sample areas. Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot. 23

Figure 6. Annual indices of population change for the Prairie subspecies of Loggerhead Shrike in Canada based on Breeding Bird Survey data, 1970-2011. Reproduced from Environment Canada (2013). 29

Figure 7. Number of breeding pairs of Loggerhead Shrike in southwestern Manitoba from 1987 to 2013. The 2013 data point reflects reduced sampling effort, and is excluded from calculations of population trend. Data provided by K. DeSmet, Manitoba Conservation Department. 31

Figure 8. Number of mature individuals of Loggerhead Shrikes tallied during the breeding season during targeted surveys conducted in Ontario from 1992 to 2013. Surveys include counts of paired birds and unmated adults. Results from the start year (1991) of the survey are underestimates and are not included. Data provided by Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Region..... 32

List of Tables

Table 1. Pairwise estimates of population differentiation derived from nuclear genetic microsatellite data between *L. l. excubitorides, L. l. ludovicianus, L. l. migrans* and the new subspecies of Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario. Phi_{PT}, an analogue of F_{ST}, above diagonal. Numbers in bold indicate statistically significant differences (P values below diagonal). N = 703 with 9999 permutations using routines in GENALEX (Peakall and Smouse 2006). Genetic variation among sub-groups was first measured using Phi_{PT}, an analogue of F_{ST}, which calculates population differentiation based on the genotypic variance, where lower levels of gene flow among sub-groups will be indicated by higher values. See Chabot (2011a) for areas sampled. 8

Table 2. Estimates of the number of Loggerhead Shrikes (mature individuals) from 1987 to 2013 based on 5-year Loggerhead Shrike surveys conducted in Alberta and Saskatchewan, and on annual surveys conducted in Manitoba[1].28

Table 3. Breeding Bird Survey trends for Loggerhead Shrikes over the long term and short term in the United States and Canada (Sauer *et al.* 2011; Environment Canada 2013). Trends are average annual percent change produced in a hierarchical model analysis. 95% confidence intervals represent the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of the posterior distribution of trend estimates. Bold indicates statistically significant values. 28

WILDLIFE SPECIES DESCRIPTION AND SIGNIFICANCE

Name and Classification

English name: Loggerhead Shrike

French name: Pie-grièche migratrice

Scientific name: *Lanius ludovicianus*

Morphological Description

The Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) is a medium-sized songbird, averaging about 20 to 22 cm in length and 47 to 48 g (Dunning 1993; Yosef 1996; Chabot unpubl. data). The top of the head, back and rump are dark grey; the underparts are white to greyish. The wings are largely black but the white base of the primary wing feathers shows as a white wing patch most conspicuous in flight. The tail feathers are largely black but some are tipped with white, with the extent of white increasing toward the edge of the tail. The Loggerhead Shrike has a black facial mask extending just above the eyes and continuing as a narrow black line over the base of the bill. This is a diagnostic feature, as the mask does not extend above the eye in the Northern Shrike (*Lanius excubitor*). The beak is black but can have a lighter hue, most notably on the lower mandible and mainly in females. The beak has a raptor-like hook and tomial tooth on each side (Cade 1995). The large jaw muscles needed to give the beak a powerful bite gives the species its large-headed appearance – hence the name 'loggerhead', which also means 'blockheaded'.

Males and females are similar in appearance. Males tend to have a dark lower mandible during the breeding season and a whiter breast, whereas females often have a pale lower mandible during the breeding season and a tan hue to the breast. Males are generally larger than females (Haas 1987; Collister and Wicklum 1996; Yosef 1996; Chabot unpubl. data).

Juveniles look like adults but have faint barring on their breast prior to the first moult, which occurs in late summer or early fall (Burnside 2006). Juvenile wing and tail feathers are browner in colour than adults (Miller 1928; Burnside 2006; Chabot 2011a).

The spring song of males consists of short trills or a combination of clear notes repeated several times that vary in rhythm, pitch and quality. The territorial song, given by both males and females, is similar but contains more rough notes that resemble the begging calls of young. Males vocalize at a higher rate and longer than females, and demonstrate trill calls, which females do not (Soendjoto 1995). Shrikes give an alarm call that consists of harsh notes when an intruder or predator is seen.

Population Spatial Structure and Variability

Across the species' range, Loggerhead Shrikes settle in loose territorial clusters or breeding aggregations (Cade and Woods 1997; Pruitt 2000; Etterson 2003). Woods (1994) reported 'spatial clumping' in the distribution of Loggerhead Shrikes nesting in sagebrush habitats. The spatial structure of breeding populations of Loggerhead Shrike has been widely described as 'patchy'. Thus, in many areas, apparently suitable habitat will be unoccupied, while elsewhere similar habitat will be occupied by isolated groups. The result is that the species tends to exist in spatially isolated local breeding populations, which can be quite small in size.

The Loggerhead Shrike exhibits geographic variation in plumage colouration, including the amount of white in the tail, dorsal colouration, and amount of white in the rump, upper tail coverts and scapulars. Bill measures, tail length, and wing length also vary geographically (Chabot, unpubl. data). Miller (1931) undertook the most extensive taxonomic review of the species based on morphological characteristics, which he partitioned by age class and moult. He suggested 11 subspecies. Later work by Rand (1960) and Phillips and Rea (Phillips 1986) suggested fewer subspecies, but included a subspecies, *L. l. miamensis*, restricted to southern Florida.

In a study using a ~200 base pair segment of mitochondrial 'Control region' and 'cytochrome *b*' sequence, Mundy *et al.* (1997) found no unique haplotypes among populations of *L. l. anthonyi*, *L. l. mearnsi*, *L. l. gambeli* and *L. l. excubitorides*, although a different haplotype predominated in each subspecies. A G-test over all populations demonstrated a significant heterogeneity in haplotype frequencies among different sample sites and thus subspecies (Mundy *et al.* 1997). F_{ST} for all five populations was 0.78 ($P < 0.05$) and all intersubspecific pairs of populations were highly significant ($P < 0.01$). Recent work using 10 novel nuclear genetic microsatellite markers (Coxon *et al.* 2011) and a ~250 base pair segment of mtDNA (A. Coxon, pers. comm. 2012) supports the findings of Mundy *et al.* (1997).

Vallianatos *et al.* (2002) examined the genetic structure of central and eastern North American Loggerhead Shrike populations. A 267 base pair segment of mitochondrial control region sequence was examined from samples representing the range of three putative subspecies – *L. l. migrans*, *L. l. ludovicianus*, and *L. l. excubitorides* – and their intergrade zones. Results from Analysis of Molecular Variance showed that a significant amount of the total control region spatial variation was apportioned among the three subspecies (24.4%; $P < 0.01$). Four management units were proposed, which corresponded with the subspecies designations, but with a split in *L. l. migrans*, in which populations in Ontario, Québec, and the northeastern U.S. (i.e., an eastern management unit) were considered genetically distinct from those in Manitoba, Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Minnesota and other western states within the putative western range of *L. l. migrans* (i.e., a *L. l. migrans* western management unit; Vallianatos *et al.* 2002).

Chabot (2011a) later undertook a broad-scale survey of the genetic spatial structure of Loggerhead Shrikes using assays of 15 microsatellite markers, including some of those used by both Mundy *et al.* (1997) and Coxon *et al.* (2011). Results again supported Miller's (1931) designations of *L. l. migrans*, *L. l. gambeli*, *L. l. excubitorides* and *L. l. ludovicianus*, as well as Vallianatos *et al.*'s (2002) management units for *L. l. migrans* (Figure 1). However, these new results suggested that birds from Ontario (and Québec by inference) are actually genetically distinct from *L. l. migrans* (Figures 1 and 2). Morphometric data also generally supported the molecular findings (Chabot 2011a). Additional analyses of the microsatellite data were undertaken for the purposes of this updated status assessment, using traditional Phi_{PT} statistics (an analogue of F_{ST}), in which populations were designated *a priori*, as required, based on the results of Bayesian clustering analysis (Chabot 2011a). Results suggest that the genetic clusters diagnosed by Chabot (2011a; Figures 1 and 2) are indeed genetically distinct (Table 1; $P < 0.001$).



Figure 1. North American breeding distribution of the different Loggerhead Shrike subspecies. The numbered areas outlined by black lines are based on morphometric differences as delineated by Miller (1931). These are compared to genetic clusters, as denoted by different colours, based on genetic admixture coefficients of birds sampled by Chabot (2011a). Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot.

Figure 2. Estimated genetic population structure in the Loggerhead Shrike in Chabot (2011a). Each individual is represented by a vertical line. Shown are *k*=5 clusters, each represented by a different colour. Within each, individuals are sorted by their admixture coefficients (*Q*). Genetic clusters are labelled below the figure with trinomial names corresponding to Miller (1931) and the additional Eastern Loggerhead Shrike genetic cluster. Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot.

Table 1. Pairwise estimates of population differentiation derived from nuclear genetic microsatellite data between *L. l. excubitorides, L. l. ludovicianus, L. l. migrans* and the new subspecies of Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario. Phi_{PT}, an analogue of F_{ST}, above diagonal. Numbers in bold indicate statistically significant differences (P values below diagonal). N = 703 with 9999 permutations using routines in GENALEX (Peakall and Smouse 2006). Genetic variation among sub-groups was first measured using Phi_{PT}, an analogue of F_{ST}, which calculates population differentiation based on the genotypic variance, where lower levels of gene flow among sub-groups will be indicated by higher values. See Chabot (2011a) for areas sampled.

Genetic cluster	*L. l. excubitorides*	*L. l. ludovicianus*	*L. l. migrans*	Ontario
L. l. excubitorides	-	0.046	0.039	0.089
L. l. ludovicianus	**0.001**	-	0.047	0.124
L. l. migrans	**0.001**	**0.001**	-	0.121
Ontario	**0.001**	**0.001**	**0.001**	-

Designatable Units

Based on the results of Miller (1931), Vallianatos *et al.* (2002) and Chabot (2011a), two designatable units of Loggerhead Shrike are presently found in Canada. These are the Prairie Loggerhead Shrike (*L. l. excubitorides*) and an as yet unnamed subspecies found in southern Ontario and Québec. The latter unit was previously believed to be a subpopulation of *L. l. migrans* (Miller 1931), but it is now considered a remnant of a unique, and as yet unnamed, genetic group (Chabot 2011a). For the purposes of this report, the term "Eastern Loggerhead Shrike" will be used to specify this designatable unit, which is now considered distinct from *L. l. migrans*.

Vallianatos *et al.* (2002) recognized southcentral and southeastern Manitoba as an intergrade zone between *L. l. excubitorides* and *L. l. migrans*. While the results of Chabot (2011a) suggest that shrikes occurring in southeastern Manitoba in the last decade are identifiable genetically as *L. l. excubitorides*, this may be an artifact of the species' recent extirpation from the northcentral United States (Pruitt 2000; Chabot, unpubl. data). While it is possible that southeastern Manitoba once supported a possible third designatable unit consisting of *L. l. migrans*, this cannot be demonstrated.

In addition to genetic and morphometric differences, the two designatable units identified above occur in distinct ecozones in Canada. The Prairie Loggerhead Shrike occurs primarily in the Prairies Ecozone, though its range formerly extended into the Boreal Plains to the north and to the west to the Montane Cordillera in Alberta (Cadman 1985, 1990). The Eastern Loggerhead Shrike occurs only in the Mixed Woods Plains. The large spatial separation between the current ranges of the two designatable units is sufficient to act as a barrier to movement, preventing genetic interchange.

Special Significance

The Loggerhead Shrike is the only species of *Lanius* endemic to North America (Lefranc 1997). Being both a passerine and a top-level predator, shrikes occupy a unique position in the food chain. The hooked bill and tomial 'tooth' of the shrike are functionally similar to the notched bill of falcons, allowing them to be predators of vertebrates, and set the species apart from other songbirds. Larger prey items are often impaled on sharp objects such as thorns or barbed wire. The impaling behaviour represents a unique adaptation to the problem of eating large prey items without having the stronger feet and talons of raptors. There is sometimes animosity toward the Loggerhead Shrike due to its habit of impaling prey, which is reflected in the species colloquial and Latin name of "butcher bird."

Research on habitat associations and requirements in Ontario (Cuddy and Leviton 1996; Glynn-Morris 2010; Chabot and Lagios 2012) suggest that the Loggerhead Shrike may be a suitable 'flagship' species, whereby protection of habitat for shrikes will benefit other grassland bird species that are also experiencing widespread declines (Berlanga *et al.* 2010). The North America Commission on Environmental Cooperation (CEC) has identified the Loggerhead Shrike as one of 11 bird species of common conservation concern in Canada, the United States and Mexico, which are considered as 'flags of the continental vessel of conservation' (CEC 2008).

The Loggerhead Shrike is extirpated from the majority of its former range in eastern Canada and the northeastern United States. The Ontario population is the largest and potentially only remaining representative of the Eastern Designatable Unit (Chabot 2011a) and therefore, has a special significance in regard to conservation of genetic diversity within the species.

Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge is not currently available for this species.

DISTRIBUTION

Global Range

The Loggerhead Shrike occurs only in North America. Within this area, it has a wide breeding range across most of Mexico, the United States and southern Canada (Figure 3), although it is unclear whether the species is found on the Gulf Coast region in Mexico during the breeding season (G. Perez pers. comm. 2006). In the northern portions of the range, the species is an obligate migrant. Farther south, generally south of 40° latitude and outside Canada, the species is either a facultative migrant or exhibits some degree of annual residency (Figure 3). The wintering grounds of migrants overlap with those of permanent residents.



Figure 3. North American distribution of Loggerhead Shrike in the breeding and wintering seasons, based on Yosef (1996). The Canadian range of the Prairie subspecies includes Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba. The Canadian range of the Eastern subspecies (southern Ontario and extreme southwestern Quebec) mostly lies within two core breeding areas.

Exact range limits of subspecies cannot be clearly defined as hybridization occurs in contact zones among the various subspecies. Prior to the species decline, which has since limited the species' occurrence in some previous contact zones, *L. l. excubitorides* populations intergraded with the putative subspecies *L. l. gambeli* and *L. l. nevadensis* in the Rocky Mountain region, with *L. l. mexicanus* in northern Mexico (Miller 1931; Chabot 2011a) and with *L. l. migrans* in the Great Plains and Manitoba (Vallianatos *et al.* 2001; Chabot 2011a).

The breeding range of the Prairie subspecies, *L. l. exubitorides,* extends from southeastern Alberta through southwestern Manitoba, south through the Great Plains to central Texas and west from northeastern Idaho south to southeastern California, west Texas and into Sonora and northern Durango in Mexico (Figure 1).

It is likely that *L. l. migrans* formerly bred from southeastern Manitoba south to eastern Texas, central Louisiana, and western North Carolina and Virginia. Its range was believed to extend eastward to the Maritime provinces, but it is likely that northeastern populations were more genetically similar to shrikes in Ontario, herein considered to be the Eastern Designatable Unit. Currently, isolated populations of 'true' *L. l. migrans* now occur only in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Iowa and southward (Figure 1).

Due to mixing of subspecies on the wintering range and weak migratory connectivity (Chabot 2011a), locational matching of discrete breeding and wintering populations is difficult to understand. However, it would appear that populations in western Canada migrate in a southeastern direction, while individuals in eastern Canada migrate to the south, migrating east of the Adirondack Mountains to the Atlantic Coast, or to the southwest (Burnside 1987; Hobson and Wassenaar 1997; Perez 2006; Perez and Hobson 2007; Chabot 2011a).

Canadian Range

Prairie Subspecies

In Canada, the Prairie Subspecies of the Loggerhead Shrike (*L. l. excubitorides)* occurs in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba (Figure 3). In Alberta, it was historically found throughout the Central Aspen Parkland (Kiliaan and Prescott 2002) and Prairie regions (Salt and Wilk 1958). It once occurred north to the Peace River region, but disappeared in the 1950s (Salt and Wilk 1958; Prescott and Bjorge 1999). Currently, the core of its range in Alberta is the northern half of the province's grasslands eastward from Hanna and Brooks (Bjorge and Kiliaan 1997) to the southern Aspen Parkland region east of Stettler (Kiliaan and Prescott 2002; Prescott 2013). Since 1993, populations have declined and the breeding range has retracted (Prescott 2009, 2013).

The trend in Saskatchewan mirrors that of Alberta, with population declines being registered (see **Fluctuations and Trends**), together with a retraction of the species' breeding range southward (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2012). The species is currently widely distributed in Parkland and Grassland areas, but it no longer breeds in most areas of central Saskatchewan (Meadow Lake, Nipawin, Somme areas; Smith 1996). Populations are patchily distributed within southern Saskatchewan, with the loss of many local populations in southeastern Saskatchewan in the past 10 years (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2012).

Historically, *L. l. excubitorides* overlapped and intergraded with *L. l. migrans* somewhere in eastern Manitoba (Miller 1931; Vallianatos *et al.* 2001). Loggerhead Shrikes currently only occur reliably in the southwestern portion of the province, which consists of the *L. l. excubitorides* subspecies. Targeted annual surveys focused on previous breeding sites over the past 10 years indicate that the species' range has been retracting south and westward (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012). The species is now largely absent as a breeder in southeastern Manitoba.

Eastern subspecies

The Loggerhead Shrike was historically a sporadic breeding bird in the Maritimes; no breeding activity has been reported there since 1972 (Erskine 1992). The Eastern subspecies is now confined to southern Ontario and extreme southwestern Québec (Figure 4).


Sources: Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Figure 4. Canadian breeding range of the Loggerhead Shrike Eastern subspecies, showing the polygon used to calculate extent of occurrence (map created by A. Filion).

In Ontario, from 1981 to 1985, during the first Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas, Loggerhead Shrikes were reported in 145 10 km x 10 km squares (Cadman *et al.* 1987). Several of the squares were estimated to have held between 2 and 10 pairs. Results from the late 1980s, collected by the Ontario Rare Breeding Bird Program and the subsequent Ontario Birds at Risk Program, indicated that shrikes had largely disappeared from the province, being found mainly in three core breeding areas associated with limestone plains in areas around Carden, Napanee and Smiths Falls. During the second Breeding Bird Atlas (2001-05), shrikes were recorded in only 38 10 km x 10 km squares (Cadman *et al.* 2007). The species is now found only sporadically in the Smiths Falls area and has declined to fewer than 10 breeding pairs annually in the Napanee area (Imlay and Lapierre 2012). While not a large population (20 pairs at most), the species is still found reliably in the Carden limestone plain area (Imlay and Lapierre 2012).

The Loggerhead Shrike went from being a fairly common breeding species in Québec in the early part of the 1900s, to rare by the late 1970s. During the first breeding bird atlas in Québec between 1984 and 1989, the species was recorded in 30 10 km x 10 km squares in the southwestern part of the province. In the second atlas currently underway, it has been found at only 1 site (http://www.atlas-oiseaux.qc.ca/donneesqc/cartes.jsp?lang=en). Prior to that, two pairs were reported breeding in 1992 and one in 1993 (Grenier *et al.* 1999). In 1995, breeding evidence was found in three locations – one close to Montréal and two in the Outaouais region in southwestern Québec (SOS-POP 2011; F. Shaffer, pers. comm. 2014). No other evidence of breeding was found between 1996 and 2009, despite surveys at former breeding sites and despite releases of 101 captive bred young in the Outaouais region from 2004 to 2009 (F. Shaffer, pers. comm. 2012). One captive-bred bird released in Québec in 2008 was subsequently located breeding in the Carden area of Ontario in 2009. In 2010, one breeding pair (including a bird that had been released in Ontario) was found nesting in the Outaouais region.

Extent of Occurrence and Area of Occupancy

Extent of occurrence (EO) for the Prairie subspecies, based on the minimum convex polygon method, is estimated to be 375,100 km^2. An index of area of occupancy (IAO), based on the 2 x 2 km square grid-method, for this population is not possible to calculate at this time owing to lack of information on specific localities. However, the population size and the scattered breeding sites across a large area would yield an IAO that is >2000 km^2.

EO for the Eastern subspecies, based on the minimum convex polygon method, is estimated to be 49,310 km^2. IAO, based on the 2 x 2 km square grid-method, is estimated to be < 200 km^2, assuming that the maximum population size consists of 55 pairs and that not every pair occurs in a separate 2 x 2 km grid cell, which is true.

Search Effort

Search effort and methodology for surveying Loggerhead Shrike varies by province. On a North American scale, the species is monitored by the Breeding Bird Survey (BBS), which provides the only standardized measure of population trends across large geographic regions (see Robbins *et al.* 1986; Peterjohn and Sauer 1995). However, the accuracy of the BBS for estimating abundance for Loggerhead Shrike is questionable (Smith 1990; Peterjohn and Sauer 1995). The species' propensity for 'spatial patchiness' (Etterson 2003; Chabot, unpubl. data; CWS .unpubl. data) and low population density confound efforts to estimate population statistics using the BBS methodology.

A variety of surveys have been conducted for the Loggerhead Shrike in Alberta over the years (Telfer *et al.* 1989; Bjorge and Prescott 1996; Collins 1996; Bjorge and Kiliaan 1997; Kiliaan and Prescott 2002; Prescott 2003, 2004). The most useful survey for monitoring large-scale population trends in western Canada has been the roadside survey conducted at 5-year intervals since 1987 in Alberta and Saskatchewan. These surveys have covered thousands of kilometres of roadside habitat annually. The most recent such surveys were conducted in 2013 (Prescott 2013; Didiuk *et al.* 2014). Because the first year of the survey (1987) resulted in erroneously low estimates (Prescott 2013), this year should not be included for the purposes of calculating population trends in Alberta or Saskatchewan. In addition, the 5-year Loggerhead Shrike survey was not carried out in Alberta in 1993, but it was in Saskatchewan. Hence, comparable results for Saskatchewan and Alberta are available only from 1998 to 2013.

Unlike the two other Prairie provinces, which have had 5-year surveys, targeted surveys have been undertaken in southwestern Manitoba annually by Manitoba Conservation since 1987 as part of a large-scale grassland bird monitoring project (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2014). Methodology changed in the early 1990s, but in general it has been standardized, being focused on assessing occupancy at recent and historically occupied breeding sites (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012). Loggerhead Shrike occurrence is also being documented in Manitoba's current breeding bird atlas project, which extends from 2010 through 2014. The current breeding bird atlassing efforts detracted from targeted shrike surveys in 2013 (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2014), so this year was excluded from population trend estimates calculated in this report.

The second Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas was undertaken from 2001 to 2005, providing both a province-wide survey for the species and the means to compare occurrence probability in the 20 years since the first atlas was conducted in 1981 to 1985 (Cadman *et al.* 2007). In Ontario, the Canadian Wildlife Service has also supported the conduct of targeted surveys annually over the last 20 years in most of the core breeding areas (Imlay and Lapierre 2012). Targeted survey work has been more limited in the Smiths Falls area, Grey-Bruce counties and on Manitoulin Island. Survey methodology has been standardized during the last 10 years and focuses on recent and historically occupied sites (Imlay and Lapierre 2012). Areas of suitable habitat have

been mapped and are surveyed to the degree possible in each core breeding area in addition to surveys of historically used sites.

The Canadian Wildlife Service mapped suitable habitat in Québec in the late 1990s. This analysis showed that the greatest extent of habitat remained in the Outaouais region, adjacent to the Pembroke and Renfrew areas of eastern Ontario, which are still periodically occupied by shrikes (Jobin *et al.* 2005). Surveys in the Outaouais region were undertaken from 2004 to 2010. No directed surveys have been conducted for the species since then. The province's second breeding bird atlas effort was initiated in 2010. In that year, one pair was found (Chabot 2011b), and a single bird was seen at the same location again in 2011 (F. Shaffer, pers. comm. 2012).

HABITAT

Habitat Requirements

Breeding Habitat

Loggerhead Shrikes are associated with a variety of grassland and shrubland habitats. Breeding territories typically include the following habitat features: 1) nesting substrate (small trees or shrubs); 2) elevated perches for hunting, pair maintenance and territory advertisement (fence posts, shrubs and trees, utility wires); 3) food cache sites (thorny shrubs, barbed wire or finely branched trees); and 4) foraging areas, generally in the form of open short grass areas with scattered perches and some bare ground. These habitat features can be met in a wide variety of habitats. Thus, shrikes occupy habitats that include pastures (both cultivated and native grassland), old fields, prairie, savannah, pinyon-juniper woodland, shrub-steppe, and alvar (Brownell and Riley 2000; Pruitt 2000; Prescott 2013).

In many areas, specific micro-habitat features have shifted over time. For example, there has been a transition from hawthorn (*Cratageus* sp.) to Red Cedar (*Juniperus virginiana)*-dominated habitat in the Napanee plain in Ontario. Additionally, in some regions Loggerhead Shrikes are now found in habitats that have been created by human activities such as airports and cemeteries (Temple 1995; K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012; Chabot, unpubl. data).

While hedgerows are frequently used for nesting, especially in areas where other habitat types are limited, nests along treed or shrubby fence lines and in hedgerows have low reproductive success due to increased susceptibility to predation that occurs along mammalian travel corridors (Yosef 1994; Esley and Bollinger 2001).

Mean territory sizes of *L. l. excubitorides* in two studies in Alberta ranged from 8.5 ha to 13.4 ha (Collister 1994; Collister and Wilson 2007a). In Ontario, territory size ranged from 2.7 to 47.0 ha in Carden and 2.9 to 11.7 ha in Napanee in 2009 (Glynn-Morris 2010). No statistically significant difference was found in territory size between Carden (mean 15.45 ±12.99 ha, n=12) and Napanee (mean 6.86 ± 3.52 ha, n=6). Territory size was significantly larger during the fledgling stage (12.97 ±13.90 ha) than in all other reproductive stages (1.55 ±1.43 ha to 3.33 ±2.49 ha) and appeared to be independent of habitat patch size.

In other areas of North America, territory size ranges from 0.8 to 17.6 ha (reviewed in Yosef 1996 and Pruitt 2000). Territory size has been shown to correlate with the abundance of trees and shrubs – increasing perch density will decrease territory size (Miller 1951; Yosef 1996), which may account for differences in average territory size among areas. Further, territory size appears to vary over the course of the reproductive season, increasing to a maximum size after young have fledged but are still dependent upon their parents (Glynn-Morris 2010).

Small trees and shrubs are used as nest sites (Peck and James 1987; Yosef 1996; Pruitt 2000; Chabot *et al.* 2001a). A variety of species are used across the species' range and local preferences are apparent. Species that are relatively dense, and thus likely protective, are preferred (Porter *et al.* 1975; Chabot *et al.* 2001b; Glynn-Morris 2010). Overall, shrikes choose the local tree or shrub species that best meet these conditions. The Prairie subspecies nests in *Caragena* shelter belts and in other shrubs, like choke cherry (*Prunus virginiana*), willow and Thorny Buffaloberry (*Shepherdia argentea*; Collister and Wilson 2007a; A. Didiuk pers. comm. 2014). In Ontario, hawthorn (*Cratageus* sp.) is preferred (Peck and James 1987), though Red Cedar, which has displaced hawthorn in some regions, is now the most common nest tree species (Chabot *et al.* 2001a).

The suitability of shorter versus taller herbaceous vegetation height as preferred foraging substrate is in debate. It is possible that it varies across the species' range and/or is correlated with other factors that influence habitat selection. In the eastern United States and Ontario, shrikes appear to prefer areas with relatively short grass, in which they may have greater foraging success (Gawlik and Bildstein 1993; Chabot *et al.* 2001b; Glynn-Morris 2010) or where they can forage with more energetic efficiency (Yosef and Grubb 1993). In western Canada, Prescott and Collister (1993) found that shrikes breeding in Alberta preferred arid-land with medium (15-35 cm) and tall (> 35 cm) grasses. The structure of the herbaceous vegetation (i.e., homogenous or heterogenous) is important, with heterogenous herbaceous ground cover, interspersed with bare ground, being preferred (Michaels and Cully 1998). Historically, grazing or fire likely helped to maintain the open habitat preferred by the species and promoted a heterogeneous structure (Cuddy 1995).

In many areas, occurrence of shrikes correlates with the proportion of suitable habitat in the landscape (Brooks and Temple 1990a; Bjorge and Prescott 1996; Cuddy and Leviton 1996; Yosef 1996; Chabot *et al.* 2001a; Glynn-Morris 2010), with home ranges or territories usually being only a proportion of a suitable habitat patch (Glynn-Morris 2010), which may facilitate the loosely colonial nature of the species. Cuddy and Leviton (1996) suggested that a threshold level of suitable habitat may be required at the landscape level before the species occupies a particular area.

Bjorge and Prescott (1996) found that the density of breeding shrikes in southeastern Alberta was positively correlated with the density of trees/shrubs, farmyards, shelterbelts and rights-of-way. The core breeding areas in Ontario are associated with limestone plains where cattle grazing on unimproved pasture accounts for the majority of the land use.

A landscape-level analysis of habitat patch attributes within each core area was undertaken for the Carden, Napanee and Smiths Falls regions of Ontario using shrike occurrence data from 1991 to 2010 (Chabot and Lagois 2012). Analyses assessed attributes within 0.5, 5 and 15 km radius of sites, which corresponds generally with territory size and average dispersal distance of returning breeders and young, respectively. Analysis of patch, class and landscape metrics revealed statistically significant differences among most metrics between occupied and suitable but unoccupied habitat, and occupied and randomly chosen habitat patches (Chabot and Lagois 2012), suggesting that landscape level effects are important elements of habitat suitability.

Wintering Habitat

Generally, winter habitat requirements do not appear to differ markedly from breeding habitat requirements (Bartgis 1992; Collins 1996; Yosef 1996; Chabot, unpubl. data). In the southern portion of the North American range, territories can be occupied year-round by the same individuals (Miller 1931; Chabot unpubl. data), but there may be changes in habitat use within the territory. For example, territory size can increase during winter (Blumton 1989; Collins 1996) and some habitat types, such as forested areas, can be used more frequently in winter than during breeding (Blumton 1989; Bartgis 1992; Gawlik and Bildstein 1993; Chabot unpubl. data).

Due to difficulty distinguishing among subspecies in the field, little is known about competition between migratory and resident individuals on the wintering grounds. It has been suggested that residents can out-compete migrants during the winter, forcing them into sub-optimal habitat. However, Perez and Hobson (2009) found that migrant and permanent resident shrikes partitioned habitat in Mexico. On the Gulf Coast of Texas, habitat also appears to be partitioned among age classes, with older birds occupying coastal habitat and younger birds occupying inland (Craig and Chabot 2012).

Migration Habitat

Little is known about habitat requirements of the species during migration (Yosef 1996). However, given that broad breeding and wintering habitat requirements are similar, it is likely that migration habitat requirements are similar too (Yosef 1996).

Habitat Trends

Breeding Range

Breeding habitat has declined and continues to decline throughout the species' range (e.g., Telfer 1992; Yosef 1996; Cade and Woods 1997). However, there is also a general consensus that much apparently suitable habitat is not occupied (Brooks and Temple 1990a; K. DeSmet pers. comm. 2012; A. Didiuk; pers. comm. 2012; A. Chabot, pers. obs.; CWS unpubl. data). While Prescott and Collister (1993) tentatively concluded that the population of Loggerhead Shrike in Alberta was limited by the availability of high-quality habitat, they did not discount the possibility that the population was limited by other factors as well. In Ontario, a habitat supply analysis indicated that there was enough habitat to support 500 pairs (Cuddy and Leviton 1996), whereas fewer than 50 pairs were known in the province at that time. A similar analysis in Québec suggested that the Outaouais region should have habitat adequate to support a viable population (Jobin *et al.* 2005), which also is clearly not being realized. To date, the majority of habitat availability studies have not addressed the impact of fragmentation or other landscape-level effects on habitat suitability.

In western Canada, loss of breeding habitat appears to occur primarily through conversion of grasslands to agricultural crops, and as a result of grassland areas along the northern periphery of the breeding range reverting to forest (Cadman 1985). In the Canadian Prairies 'unimproved' pasturage declined 39% between 1946 and 1986 in areas where the largest shrike population declines were noted, but only 12% where substantial numbers of birds persisted (Telfer 1992). While extensive areas of pasture still occur in Manitoba, much of it is 'improved' and thus lacking nest trees and perches.

In recent decades, the primary loss of habitat in eastern Canada has resulted from natural succession stemming from the abandonment of pastureland (Cadman *et al.* 2007). Pastureland in Ontario dropped from about 2.7 million hectares in 1921 to about 660,000 hectares in 2011 – a 75% decline. These declines are continuing (e.g., a 12.3% decline from 2006 to 2011). In the Smiths Falls area, succession has often been linked to rural residential development, but habitat has also been lost to reforestation projects (D. Cuddy, pers. comm. 2012). Aggregate extraction has had a local impact in Ontario, in particular in the Carden area (Cadman 1990). Solar farm development is becoming an increasing issue in the Napanee and Carden areas.

Prior to European settlement in eastern North America, grassland birds, including Loggerhead Shrike, nested in native grasslands, composed of prairies, savannahs, beaver meadows, burned areas, areas cleared for agriculture by First Nations, and alvars (Askins *et al.* 2007; Catling 2008). Most such habitat was destroyed following European settlement (Askins *et al.* 2007). Only 2.4% of northern tallgrass prairie remains in North America (Samson *et al.* 2004) and less than 1% remains in Ontario (Bakowsky and Riley 1994; Catling and Brownell 1999; Catling 2008). In Ontario, while the exact amount of loss of alvar grasslands and savannahs is hard to estimate, a significant portion has been lost and much of the remaining area degraded through modification by agriculture and other human uses (Reschke *et al.* 1999; Brownell and Riley 2000).

In Québec, there was an 85% decline in pasture between 1941 and 1990 (Cadman 1990). Aerial photographs taken from the 1960s and 1980s in southern Québec were interpreted to evaluate changes in the rural landscape of the St. Lawrence Lowland (Jobin *et al.* 1996). Changes in the rural landscape were caused mainly by abandonment of marginally productive farms and subsequent forest regeneration, plantations, urban sprawl and an increase in intensive farming. In a more recent study, Jobin *et al.* (2010) examined Landsat images covering the St. Lawrence Lowland and Appalachian ecoregions of southern Québec for the years 1993 and 2001. A shift in major agricultural classes was noted in the St. Lawrence Lowlands, where perennial forage crops had been converted to annual crops and landscapes dominated by intensive agriculture expanded (Jobin *et al.* 2010), indicating a likely loss of suitable breeding habitat for the Loggerhead Shrike.

In the northern United States, habitat loss has also been implicated as a cause of population decline. For example, 70% of sage-steppe habitat has been converted to agriculture in Idaho; strip mining has destroyed much habitat in Indiana; and farmland declined from 74% to 30% in New York between 1900 and 1982 due to abandonment and forest succession (Yosef 1996).

Winter Range

Migration and wintering habitat has declined (Telfer 1992; Yosef 1996; Cade and Woods 1997). Given the pervasive loss of grassland habitat throughout North America, it is likely that habitat loss has had a significant negative impact on both eastern and western Canadian Loggerhead Shrike populations on their wintering grounds.

BIOLOGY

Life Cycle and Reproduction

Loggerhead Shrikes start to arrive on their northern breeding grounds in late March or early April and migrate south by September, thus spending only about 5 months of the year in Canada.

Most studies report that the species is monogamous (Yosef 1996). However, evidence from nuclear DNA analysis of clutches in Ontario indicate a low occurrence of both extra-pair copulation and, more frequently, multiple maternity (e.g., brood parasitism/egg dumping) within individual nests (Chabot and Lougheed 2005). Banding work also supports the finding of multiple females at a nest site and of 'helper' birds of either sex at nests in Ontario (Chabot 2009, 2010, 2011b). Still, Etterson (2004) found only 4% of offspring or 14% of families had young sired by extra-pair fertilizations in Oklahoma and no cases of multiple maternity. Nonetheless, polygyny (i.e., the practice of a male having two or more mates) has been reported (Yosef 1992)

Loggerhead Shrikes generally breed as 1-year olds in the first spring after hatching (Miller 1931). The species is usually single-brooded but is considered to be a persistent renester after a failed nesting attempt (Miller 1931; Yosef 1996). Although double brooding occurs occasionally in northern latitudes, it is most common in the south (Yosef 1996).

Both sexes are involved in choosing the nest site and nest building (A. Chabot, pers. obs.). The nest is an open cup, made with small twigs and usually lined with feathers or fur. Clutch size increases with latitude and tends to be larger in western populations (Yosef 1996). It averages 5 to 6 eggs (Yosef 1996; Chabot *et al.* 2001a; Collister and Wilson 2007b). The incubation period lasts 15 to 17 days (Lohrer 1974; Collister and Wilson 2007b). The nestling period lasts from 16 to 20 days (Yosef 1996; Collister and Wilson 2007b). Both parents continue to feed the young for up to a month post-fledging, but they often move to different areas of the territory, with each parent caring for part of the brood. Young begin to show impaling behaviour at 20 to 25 days of age and can successfully impale by day 35 (Smith 1972).

Nest success is highly variable from year to year and among areas (Pruitt 2000). Average nesting success (nests in which ≥1 young fledge) was 56% among studies (reviewed in Yosef 1996), but more recent studies show even lower estimates. For example, in southeastern Alberta, Collister and Wilson (2007b) reported average daily survival of Loggerhead Shrike nests to be 0.973 (95% CI: 0.967, 0.978), which when raised to the length of the nest cycle gives a nest success estimate of 35% (95% CI: 28 – 43%; S. Wilson, pers. comm. 2014). Other estimates using analysis methods based on exposure days include 40% in Oregon (Nur *et al.* 2004), 43% in Oklahoma (Etterson *et al.* 2007) and as low as 26% in Illinois (Walk *et al.* 2006).

Brood parasitism by cowbirds is rare (DeGues and Best 1991). However, nest predation can significantly decrease productivity, for example in Manitoba (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012), Ontario (CWS unpubl. data) and Illinois (e.g., Walk *et al.* 2006), all of which support small populations of shrikes.

Juvenile mortality following fledging is apparently high, with 33-46% mortality in the first 7-10 days after fledging, most often attributed to predation (Yosef 1996; Chabot *et al.* 2001a). However, some other studies (e.g., Blumton 1989) have shown relatively high fledgling survival. In Ontario, results from a radio-telemetry study of older fledglings that had survived to reach independence indicated a fairly high survival rate to fall migration (Imlay *et al.* 2010).

The longevity record for a wild Loggerhead Shrike is 12 years, and captive birds can live up to 15 years (T. Imlay, pers. comm. 2012). More commonly, based on banding data from Ontario, it would appear that the average age of Loggerhead Shrike in the wild is 2 to 4 years. Based on estimating $\alpha + [S/(1-S)]$, where α is the typical age at first breeding for females and S is adult survival, an estimated range of adult survival from 0.5 to 0.7 would yield a generation time ranging from 2 to 3.3 years. As such, a generation time of 3 years is used in this assessment.

Age structure data have not been collected recently from shrikes in the Prairies, but have been recorded as part of the banding work in Ontario and Illinois (Chabot 2009, 2010, 2011b). In Ontario, the majority of breeders are After Second Year (ASY) birds that are at least 2 years old. While the ratio of Second Year (SY) to ASY birds varies significantly year to year, in general more SY females than males are found annually both in Illinois and Ontario. As reproductive success is similar among regions (Chabot 2011b), over-wintering mortality of first-year birds is likely a key factor influencing age structure.

The Loggerhead Shrike is an opportunistic forager, adjusting to exploit the available prey base (Miller 1931; Craig 1978; Scott and Morrison 1995). Although it feeds primarily on insects during the breeding season, vertebrates make up an increasing proportion of the diet during winter. The species' behaviour of caching food is believed to be used as a food storage system and may help to improve nesting success, especially during inclement weather (Yosef 1996). However, brood reduction has been observed in harsh weather and is likely related to food supplies (A. Chabot, pers. obs; K, DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012).

Physiology and Adaptability

Although Loggerhead Shrikes are fairly tolerant of human activity around the nest site (Luukkonen 1987; Brooks 1988; Bartgis 1989), there is conflicting evidence as to how frequently they desert the nest in response to human disturbance (Porter *et al.* 1975; Siegel 1980). Shrikes appear less disturbed by mechanical disturbance, such as that caused by tractors, than by human presence (A. Chabot pers. obs.).

Shrikes nesting in eastern Manitoba in the early 1990s were often associated with anthropogenic habitat (e.g., cemeteries, airports, rural residential housing.), and the species can be found nesting in similar habitat in other parts of its range (Chabot, unpubl. data). While this suggests that the species can successfully 'transition' to these habitat types, it does not appear to be true range-wide (Jones and Bock 2002). Only one study has assessed reproductive success in urban habitats (Boal *et al.* 2003). Results suggest that while reproductive success is similar between urban and rural habitats, breeding territories had lower proportions of residential and commercial development and greater proportions of open areas with low-growing vegetation than randomly available (Boal *et al.* 2003). Further, the use of urban habitat may be associated with a concurrent loss of natural habitat (Boal *et al.* 2003). Therefore, it is unknown if the species' ability to adapt to habitat change and loss actually contributes to long-term viability.

Dispersal and Migration

The Loggerhead Shrike is migratory in the northern half of its breeding range, which includes all of its Canadian range. Farther south, as described by Miller (1931), migration is an "irregular and variable habit" in the species. Even in primarily migratory populations, some individuals in the United States overwinter on their breeding grounds (Figure 3).

Results of spatial autocorrelation analysis of genetic versus geographic distance among individuals suggest that gene flow (a result of successful dispersal that leads to reproduction) occurs at great distances. Positive spatial genetic structure (i.e., genetic similarity among individuals) occurs up to 500 km in the species (Chabot 2011a). Results of spatial autocorrelation suggest a pattern of Isolation By Distance (Wright 1943), in which gene flow (i.e., dispersal) is greater over shorter distance and decreases with increasing distance (Figure 5). Females and young prior to their first breeding season disperse farther than males and ASY breeders (Chabot 2011a). Migratory individuals of all cohorts disperse significantly farther than their non-migratory resident conspecifics. Gene flow estimates indicate that dispersal occurs more commonly on a north-south axis than an east-west axis (Figure 5; Chabot 2011a). However, gene flow is reduced in areas where the species is resident year-round and at the northern periphery of the species' range, specifically western Canada and Ontario.



Figure 5. Geospatial depiction of estimates of genetic relatedness for each genetic cluster for Loggerhead Shrikes sampled by Chabot (2011a). Darker areas indicate lower levels of gene flow among neighbouring sample areas. Lighter areas represent higher levels of gene flow among neighbouring sample areas. Figure recreated with permission of A. Chabot.

Natal Dispersal and Site Fidelity

The apparent return rate of young shrikes to their natal area is low. Collister and DeSmet (1997) resighted 1.2% of juveniles in Alberta and 0.8% of juveniles in Manitoba in the year following banding. In Ontario, the return rate for nestlings ranged from 3.1% to 12% (Okines and McCracken 2003, 2005). In the United States, return rates of young birds is also low: 3.6% in Virginia (Luukkonen 1987); 2.4% in Indiana (Burton and Whitehead 1990); 1.7% in Virginia (Blumton 1989); 1.1% in Missouri (Kridelbaugh 1982); 0.8% in North Dakota; and 0.0% in Minnesota (Brooks and Temple 1990b).

In Alberta, juveniles dispersed 12.4 km on average and up to 70 km from their natal site (Collister 1994). In Manitoba, natal dispersal averaged 15.4 km. In Ontario, nestlings have been found to return, in general, to within 44 km of their natal site, although larger movements (150+ km) have been observed (Okines and McCracken 2003; Chabot 2011b). Nestlings moved 9.9 to 15.0 km on average in Ontario (Okines and McCracken 2003). However, measures of dispersal distance based on banding studies largely reflect search effort and search distance, and should not be taken too literally.

Adult Dispersal and Site Fidelity

In Ontario, return rates of banded adults have ranged from 11% to 28% (Okines and McCracken 2003, 2005). In Alberta, 32% of adults were resighted in the following year, while only 16% of adults were resighted in Manitoba (Collister and De Smet 1997; Collister 2013). Elsewhere, return rates vary among areas: 47% in Missouri (Kridelbaugh 1982), 41% in Indiana (Burton and Whitehead 2002), 30% in Idaho (Woods 1994), and 14% in North Dakota (Haas and Sloane 1989). Because return rates reflect apparent adult survivorship, Collister (2013) suggested that the values noted above for Loggerhead Shrikes were generally lower than those for other songbirds, suggesting that the species exhibits relatively poor adult survivorship in many regions.

In North Dakota, nest site fidelity rates of 28% for adult males and 5% for females were observed (Haas and Sloane 1989). In southern Idaho, 30% of all banded adults returned the following year to their prior territories (Woods 1994). In Missouri, 47% of males and 0% of females returned to their previous nesting area.

Collister and De Smet (1997) found that the mean distance moved by adults between successive years was 1.9 km in Alberta and 3.1 km in Manitoba and suggested that 95% of adults can be expected to return to within 4.7 km of their previous year's nest site. Dispersal distance was greater among females in both Alberta and Manitoba. In Ontario, adults returned to within 47 km of their original banding location (mean 18.6 km; Okines and McCracken 2003).

Throughout the species' range, it would appear that territory and habitat patch reuse rates are higher than nest site fidelity rates (Yosef 1996; Pruitt 2000). Site reuse and site fidelity in Ontario appear to be positively correlated with successful reproduction (Glynn-Morris 2010). Breeding site fidelity in Manitoba also appeared to be positively affected by breeding success (Collister and DeSmet 1997).

Interspecific Interactions

Shrikes interact with a wide variety of birds, presumably in defence of foraging areas (Cadman 1985; Smith 1991; Collister 1994; Woods 1994; Yosef 1996). Northern Mockingbirds (*Mimus polyglottos*), Burrowing Owls (*Athene cunicularia*; Yosef 1996) and American Kestrels (*Falco sparverius)* have been observed engaging in klepto-parasitism at shrike caches (A. Chabot pers. obs.). Northern Mockingbirds and Brown Thrashers (*Toxostoma rufum*) appear to cause shrikes to abandon territories and nest sites, even during incubation (Chabot unpubl. data). Fledgling shrikes have been observed being attacked or harassed by a number of species of passerine birds (Smith 1991). Interspecific competition with species like American Kestrel, European Starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*) and Red Fire Ant (*Solenipsis invicta*) can appear to be contributing factors to local population demography (Cadman 1985; Lymn and Temple 1991; A. Chabot, pers. obs.), but there are no indications that interspecific competition has contributed to range-wide population changes of Loggerhead Shrikes.

POPULATION SIZES AND TRENDS

Sampling Effort and Methods

A detailed synopsis of sampling effort and methodology can be found in the **Search Effort** section. The Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) provides the longest-term data set for the species across its range. However, BBS suffers from several limitations, and the credibility of regional results for Loggerhead Shrikes is considered to be low to moderate in Canada (Environment Canada 2013; A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2014). Detection rates by BBS are low, because the species is very locally distributed, and its behaviour makes it difficult to detect with brief roadside visits. Targeted roadside surveys aimed at optimizing the detection of shrikes provide more reliable estimates of regional population sizes and trends than BBS (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2014). Survey methodology is standardized in Alberta and Saskatchewan, where targeted shrike surveys have been conducted using the same methodology every 5 years since 1987, most recently in 2013. However, the first year of the surveys in Alberta and Saskatchewan (1987) should not be included in trend analysis because of relatively poor sampling effort and observer inexperience (Prescott 2013; A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2014). In Manitoba, Ontario and Québec, targeted annual surveys have largely focused on assessing occupancy of historical breeding sites and, to a lesser degree, apparently suitable habitat.

Abundance

The Loggerhead Shrike's global population (all subspecies combined) is estimated to be between 3.7 and 4.2 million birds, based on BBS data (http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/bbs/retrieval/menu.cfm).

Prairie subspecies

The Prairie subspecies of Loggerhead Shrikes consists of about 54,700 mature individuals, of which about 15,000 occur in Alberta, 39,600 occur in Saskatchewan, and 100-200 occur in Manitoba.

Adjusting for detectability, extrapolation of roadside observations in Alberta suggests a total provincial population of 7508 shrike pairs (~15,000 mature individuals) in 2013 (Prescott 2013). Abundances vary regionally, with the highest estimates occurring in the central-eastern portion of the province, followed by the southeast. No shrikes were found in the southwestern quadrant of Alberta.

Saskatchewan supports more Loggerhead Shrikes than Alberta. Using the same estimating technique as was used in Alberta, the most recent population estimate for Saskatchewan was about 39,600 shrikes in 2013 (Didiuk *et al.* 2014).

In Manitoba, annual counts of Loggerhead Shrike have been carried out since 1987. As of 2012, the population there is estimated to consist of about 50 pairs (100 mature individuals), though some birds likely went undetected. In the provincial Breeding Bird Atlas project now underway, breeding evidence had been reported in 36 10 x10 km squares up until the end of the 2013 breeding season (http://www.birdatlas.mb.ca/).

Eastern subspecies

In Ontario, a "reintroduction" program has been going on annually since 2001, using birds that were sourced as nestlings from Ontario. The reintroduction program has achieved some measure of success, as evidenced by the subsequent return of captive-bred birds that have successfully bred with wild mates (Imlay and Lapierre 2012). From 2004-2013, 698 captive-born birds were released to the wild in Ontario, from which 35 (5%) returned (Wildlife Preservation Canada pers. comm. 2014). Out of the 35 returns, 14 successfully bred (12 in Carden, 1 in Grey-Bruce, and 1 in Quebec), 10 were single birds (not paired), and 11 paired but failed to nest successfully.

While the Eastern subspecies is strongly dominated by wild birds (Chabot 2009, 2010, 2011b), the release program is considered to be an important measure to sustain the wild population (Tischendorf 2009). As such, captive-released adults are included in the population estimate. However, as per COSEWIC's guidelines on manipulated populations, the shrikes that are retained in captivity do not effectively contribute to the wild population and are excluded from the population estimate.

In Ontario, targeted surveys for Loggerhead Shrike were begun in 1992, focusing on the Smiths Falls, Napanee and Carden core breeding areas, and to a lesser extent Bruce Peninsula and Manitoulin Island. About 50 breeding pairs were located in 1992, but the population has declined fairly steadily since then. Over the most recent 10 year-period from 2003 to 2013, the known number of breeding pairs in the province has ranged from 18 to 31 pairs (CWS unpubl. data 2014). Including unmated birds, the Ontario population likely stands at 50 to 100 adults, and is probably at the lower end of this estimate (see below).

Until 2003, the majority of the breeding pairs in Ontario occurred in the Napanee core area. However, the number of breeding pairs in this area has declined steadily, to only 5 pairs in 2012. Conversely, the number of breeding pairs in the Carden Plain has increased from ~5 pairs in the early 1990s to a high of 18 pairs in 2009. The increase may be due to captive breeding and release efforts initiated in 2003 in that area (Tischendorf 2009). However, while captive bred birds are found annually as part of the breeding population in this area, the majority of the breeding population is still composed of wild-source birds (Chabot 2009, 2010, 2011b).

No Loggerhead Shrikes were found breeding in the Smiths Falls limestone plain between 2000 and 2008, but up to three pairs have been recorded annually since 2009 (CWS unpubl. data). Despite captive breeding and release work near Dyer's Bay on the Bruce Peninsula, shrikes have been located only sporadically on Manitoulin Island and in the Grey-Bruce core area over the past decade.

From 1980 to 1990, there were only 14 breeding records in Québec. During the first breeding bird atlas period (1984 to 1989), only 30 sightings were recorded, which included 7 confirmed breeding pairs (Gauthier and Aubry 1996). A broad-scale survey of all former breeding areas was undertaken in 1990, and only 1 pair was found (Cadman 1990), with an optimistic estimate of 10 breeding pairs (Robert and Laporte 1991). Two pairs were reported breeding in Québec in 1992 and 1 in 1993 (Grenier *et al.* 1999). Breeding evidence was found at three sites in 1995 (SOS-POP 2011). No other evidence of breeding was found between 1996 and 2009, despite surveys at former breeding sites and despite releases of 101 captive bred young in the Outaouais region from 2004 to 2009. One captive-bred bird released in Québec was subsequently located in the Carden core area in Ontario. In 2010, one breeding pair was found near the region where releases had been undertaken. This pair was composed of a wild male and a captive-bred female released from the Carden area in Ontario in 2009. The pair successfully fledged young, but only one bird was seen at this site in 2011 (F. Shaffer, pers. comm. 2012).

In summary, the Eastern subspecies of Loggerhead Shrikes (excluding birds held in captivity) is currently estimated to consist of no more than 110 mature individuals, with fewer than 100 in Ontario and fewer than 10 in Québec.

Fluctuations and Trends

The Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) provides range-wide population trend information. Large-scale declines in the species likely began before the inception of the BBS (Cade and Woods 1997), and thus population trends estimated by BBS are likely conservative figures for overall declines over the past century. The Loggerhead Shrike has been declining at an average rate of -3.2% per year survey-wide (U.S. and Canada combined) from 1966 to 2011, and -1.7% per year for the 10-year period from 2001 to 2011 (see Table 3, which also includes confidence intervals on these estimates).

Table 2. Estimates of the number of Loggerhead Shrikes (mature individuals) from 1987 to 2013 based on 5-year Loggerhead Shrike surveys conducted in Alberta and Saskatchewan, and on annual surveys conducted in Manitoba[1].

Region	1987[3]	1993	1998	2003	2008	2013
Saskatchewan	67,048	116,135	93,617	86,697	35,582	39,579
Alberta[2]	5,650	na	23,428	16,654	15,442	15,016
Manitoba	530	654	332	162	98	70[4]

[1] Compiled by Didiuk *et al.* 2014.

[2] Surveys were not conducted in Alberta in 1993.

[3] The start year (1987) is an underestimate for Alberta and Saskatchewan and should not be included in measures of population change.

[4] Survey effort was reduced in Manitoba in 2013, so this value is an underestimate.

Table 3. Breeding Bird Survey trends for Loggerhead Shrikes over the long term and short term in the United States and Canada (Sauer *et al.* 2011; Environment Canada 2013). Trends are average annual percent change produced in a hierarchical model analysis. 95% confidence intervals represent the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of the posterior distribution of trend estimates. Bold indicates statistically significant values.

Region	N of routes	1970*-2011		2001-2011	
		Trend	95% CI	Trend	95% CI
North America	2011	**-3.2**	**-3.6, -2.9**	**-1.7**	**-2.5, -0.9**
United States	1870	**-3.2**	**-3.6, -2.9**	**-1.7**	**-2.5, -0.9**
Canada	123	**-2.9**	**-4.9, -1.3**	-1.4	-4.6, 3.07
Alberta	40	0.3	-1.7, 2.4	1.3	-1.8, 8.1
Saskatchewan	49	**-3.6**	**-5.5, -1.6**	-3.0	-7.3, 4.0
Manitoba	16	**-5.1**	**-9.5, -1.1**	-5.2	-11.3, 0.2
Prairie Potholes (AB, SK, MB)	105	**-2.8**	**-4.8, -1.2**	-1.3	-4.6, 3.1
Ontario	18	**-12.4**	**-17.6, -7.9**	**-12.2**	**-20.8, -3.3**

*Start year varies by region. In Canada, the first year was 1970, but was 1966 for the United States.

BBS results for the Loggerhead Shrike in the United States show mean annual declines of 3.2% from 1966 to 2011 and 1.7% for the 10-year period from 2001 to 2011 (Table 3). Across its Canadian range, BBS data (mostly reflecting the Prairie subspecies) indicate a mean annual decline of 2.9% from 1970 to 2011, and -1.3% from 2001 to 2011, though the latter trend is not statistically significant (see Table 3). Details for each designatable unit are presented below.

Prairie subspecies

It is again important to point out that the BBS provides one estimate of population trend for the Prairie subspecies, and that its limitations give it a reliability rating that ranges between low and medium (Environment Canada 2013). Based on BBS, there was a statistically significant decline of 2.8% per year for the period 1970-2011 (equivalent to a loss of 69% over the period), and a non-significant decline of 1.3% per year for the 10-year period from 2001-2011 (equivalent to -13% over the period; Table 3; Figure 6). If the BBS long-term trend estimate (-2.8%/year) is used to infer the most recent 10-year trend, which probably gives a better representation than the short-term trend, then BBS indicates an overall loss of 24.7% of the Prairie subspecies over 10 years.



Figure 6. Annual indices of population change for the Prairie subspecies of Loggerhead Shrike in Canada based on Breeding Bird Survey data, 1970-2011. Reproduced from Environment Canada (2013).

BBS data for Alberta suggest a fairly stable population trend in both the long and short terms (0.3% per year from 1970 to 2011, and 1.3% per year from 2001 to 2011; Table 3), but again reliability of the survey is low. Targeted surveys in the core of the species' range in Alberta in 1993 and 1996 also indicated a stable population (Bjorge and Kiliaan 1997). However, roadside surveys for the 15-year period between 1998 and 2013 suggest a 19.1% decline in the population index, while results from the most recent 10-year period indicate an 11.0% decline (Prescott 2013; see also Table 2).

In Saskatchewan, BBS results yield a statistically significant population trend of -3.6% per year from 1970 to 2011 and a non-significant trend of -3.0% per year for the 10-year period from 2001 to 2011 (Table 3). Results from targeted shrike surveys have shown more dramatic population declines, with a 54.3% decline occurring in the 10-year period between 2003 and 2013 (Didiuk *et al.* 2014; Table 2).

In Manitoba, BBS data suggest statistically significant annual declines of 5.1% per year from 1970 to 2011, and 5.2% from 2001 to 2011 (equivalent to a 52% decline over 10 years; Table 3). The results of targeted surveys of shrikes in Manitoba since 1987 show similar results (Figure 7), with calculations of 10-year losses ranging from 40.1% (if restricted to 2002-2012 survey data) to 61.9% (if based on the long-term annual average trend of -9.2%/year from 1993 to 2012). Breeding occurrences are now nearly entirely restricted to the southwestern corner of the province, which reflects the species' overall retraction from the southeast (K. De Smet, pers. comm. 2012). Indeed, in southeastern Manitoba, the population declined from ~60 breeding pairs in 1987 to 20 in 2004, when the remaining population occurred mostly around Winnipeg (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012). No convincing evidence of breeding has been found in southeastern Manitoba since 2009 (K. DeSmet, pers. comm. 2012), though 'possible' breeding evidence has been recorded in three atlas squares during the current provincial Breeding Bird Atlas project (http://www.birdatlas.mb.ca/). Extensive surveys since 2010 have revealed fewer than 50 known nesting pairs in the province, almost all of which occur in a few grassland pockets of extreme southwestern Manitoba (K. De Smet, pers. comm. 2014).



Figure 7. Number of breeding pairs of Loggerhead Shrike in southwestern Manitoba from 1987 to 2013. The 2013 data point reflects reduced sampling effort, and is excluded from calculations of population trend. Data provided by K. DeSmet, Manitoba Conservation Department.

In summary the Prairie subspecies has experienced large declines over the long-term, and these declines continue to persist. BBS results indicate a loss of only about 13% over the 10-year period from 2001 to 2011. However, as noted earlier, BBS has several limitations, and targeted surveys provide a better estimate of regional population change for this species. Targeted surveys indicate that estimates of 10-year decline are 11.0% in Alberta, 54.3% in Saskatchewan and 40.1% in Manitoba. Adjusting for differences in population size between the three provinces yields an overall rate of decline of about 47% for the Prairie subspecies over the most recent 10-year period from 2003-2013.

Eastern Canada population

In Ontario, BBS data suggest statistically significant annual declines of 12.4% per year from 1970 to 2011 and 12.2% from 2001 to 2011 (Table 3). BBS results in Ontario should be viewed with caution due to small sample sizes and the restricted occurrence of the species. Results from the second Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas showed a 63% decline in probability of observation for the 20-year period extending from 1981-85 and 2001-05 (Cadman *et al.* 2007), which is equivalent to a loss of over 30% over 10 years. Results from the Canadian Wildlife Service's targeted surveys from 1992 to 2013 also show a declining trend, amounting to an annual average rate of 2.9% per year, which is equivalent to 46% across the 22-year time period (Figure 8). In the most recent 10-year period from 2003 to 2013, the number of shrikes fell from 59 adults to 51, which represents a decline of 13% over the short term. For this species, however, trends calculated from a longer time series are probably more informative. Using the trend from the longer time series (-2.9% per year) yields a 10-year decline totalling about 26%, which is the value used in this status assessment.



Figure 8. Number of mature individuals of Loggerhead Shrikes tallied during the breeding season during targeted surveys conducted in Ontario from 1992 to 2013. Surveys include counts of paired birds and unmated adults. Results from the start year (1991) of the survey are underestimates and are not included. Data provided by Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Region.

The situation in Québec is even more dire than in Ontario. During the first provincial breeding bird atlas from 1984-1989, the Loggerhead Shrike was recorded in 30 atlas squares. However, it has been found at only one site during the atlas project presently underway. The species is nearly extirpated from Québec.

Rescue Effect

Dispersal occurs up to 500 km in the species (Chabot 2011a), which indicates potential for rescue via immigration from other regions. Even so, gene flow estimates indicate that dispersal more often occurs from the northern periphery of the species' range southward (Chabot 2011a; Figure 5). While banding work has shown some movement between core breeding areas in Ontario, and between Ontario and the Outaouais region of Québec, no interchange of banded birds has been recorded from other parts of North America during the breeding season (Burnside 1987; Chabot 2011a).

At least some suitable breeding habitat appears to be present where the species historically occurred. While the effect of habitat fragmentation on the species is not fully understood, it appears that habitat patch size and landscape-scale factors are important elements of habitat suitability (Cuddy and Leviton 1996; Glynn-Morris 2010; Chabot and Lagois 2012), which may be linked to the species' loosely colonial or 'patchy' nature. Regardless, unoccupied but apparently suitable habitat does occur in areas where the species formerly occurred, which should promote the chances of successful rescue, were immigration to occur.

It is unlikely that the Prairie subspecies could experience a rescue effect from the United States, because population trends in the United States are in decline. Likewise, in the northeastern United States, the species is either extirpated or occurs in very low numbers, and is experiencing large-scale declines, so rescue of the Eastern subspecies is not possible.

THREATS AND LIMITING FACTORS

Breeding Habitat Loss/Degradation

Across North America, population declines of Loggerhead Shrikes have been correlated with habitat loss and degradation (Kridelbaugh 1982; Luukkonen 1987; Woods 1994; Yosef 1996). Telfer (1992) reported a 39% decline in unimproved pastureland between 1946 and 1986 in areas of the Prairie provinces where shrikes have undergone the greatest declines. Samson and Knopf (1994) reported dramatic losses of native grasslands in Alberta (61% of mixed grass prairie), Saskatchewan (81% of mixed grass prairie and 85% of shortgrass prairie), and Manitoba (99% of both tall-grass and mixed grass prairie), as well as farther south along the western and central Great Plains. In the northeastern United States and eastern Canada, habitat loss has been caused by farmland abandonment, development, widespread changes in farming practices, reforestation and succession (Novak 1989; Cadman 1985, 1990; Cuddy 1995; Jobin *et al.* 1996, 2010). While loss of breeding habitat has had a significant negative impact on Loggerhead Shrike populations and has likely caused breeding range retraction at the northern periphery of its range, apparently suitable habitat remains unoccupied in many areas in the United States (e.g., Brooks and Temple 1990a; Robbins 1991; Cuddy and Leviton 1996) and eastern and western Canada (CWS unpubl. data). This suggests that other factors may also be at play.

Winter Habitat Loss/Degradation

The decline in shrike populations is probably also linked to loss and degradation of wintering habitat (Brooks 1988; Lymn and Temple 1991). In the species' wintering range, there has been extensive conversion of pastureland and old fields to crop production. Large areas of grassland habitat have been eliminated along the Gulf Coast (Lymn and Temple 1991), which is an important wintering area for the species (Chabot 2011a). Telfer (1992) studied shrike habitat use on the wintering grounds in Texas and found that only 17% of native grasslands remained.

Conversion of grasslands to residential use on the Gulf Coast may also be impacting food supply and other habitat requirements. Non-migratory resident shrikes defend territories in the winter and where habitat is limited, migrant birds may be forced into marginal wintering habitat, thus reducing over-winter survival (Brooks and Temple 1990a). A study by Craig and Chabot (2012) found partitioning of habitat in the Texas Gulf Coast based on age, with older birds more commonly occurring on the coast in high-density residential areas, while younger birds were more common inland. Shrikes are not commonly found in these coastal areas during the breeding season (F. Chavez-Ramirez, pers. comm. 2012), suggesting they are being used solely by migrants and not permanent residents.

Road Mortality

Mortality from vehicle collisions may be a significant factor affecting shrike populations (Miller 1931; Campbell 1975; Novak 1989; Luukkonen 1987; Flickinger 1995). Shrikes often fly low to the ground across roadways, particularly where the grassed roadside verge has been mowed and is shorter than surrounding vegetation. Hedgerows, fencelines, utility wires and other habitat features commonly used by shrikes are frequently concentrated along roadways, exposing birds to automobile traffic. The overall threat is increasing with expansion/improvements in the road network and greater vehicle traffic across the species' range.

Pesticides

Because shrikes are upper-level predators, pesticides have been implicated as a potential cause of decline (Fraser and Luukkonen 1986). Two early studies reported relatively high levels of DDE or DDT in shrikes breeding in Illinois and Virginia (Anderson and Duzan 1978) and in California (Rudd *et al.* 1981). DDE was also detected in unhatched eggs from Ontario in 2010 (CWS unpubl. data). While there was some evidence of eggshell thinning in Illinois, there was no apparent thinning in California or Florida (Hands *et al.* 1989). Busbee (1977) reported that young exposed to dieldrin attacked and killed prey more slowly than unexposed birds. Blumton (1989) reported that necropsied shrikes in Virginia showed traces of pesticide contamination, but drew no conclusions on the relationship between contamination and mortality.

Pesticides may also impact the species indirectly; for example, by reducing food supply. A decline in shrike populations on the Canadian prairies corresponds with dieldrin use to manage grasshoppers, which make up 30-75% of the species' diet (C. Campbell *in* Cadman 1985; Yosef 1994, 1996). On the wintering grounds, the recent spread of Red Fire Ants has prompted the use of mirex, which can accumulate to relatively high levels in shrikes (Collins *et al.* 1974; Lymn and Temple 1991). Overall, based on evidence to date (Herkert 2004; CWS unpubl. data), pesticides are unlikely to be the main cause of the species' decline, particularly in areas where pasture is the main land use and where pesticide application is most limited. At the very least, they still must be considered at least as a low-level threat.

Predation

Various predators of Loggerhead Shrike eggs and young have been noted, including Black-billed Magpies (*Pica hudsonia*) and Bull Snakes (*Pituophis melanoleucus*) in the west, as well as Long-tailed Weasels (*Mustela frenata*), feral cats (*Felis domesticus*), foxes (*Vulpes* sp.) and Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*; Bent 1950; DeGues 1990; Gawlik and Bildstein 1990; Scott and Morrison 1990; Collister 1994; Collister and Wilson 2007b). During the breeding season, predation on adults and eggs and nestlings appears to be higher near roads and hedgerows (Yosef 1994). Nest predation can be a significant factor in some areas (Collister and Wilson 2007b; K. DeSmet, pers. comm; CWS unpubl. data). Nest success can be as low as 35% (and even lower) in areas where predation is high (e.g., DeGeus and Best 1991). Hence, predation can be an important limiting factor, particularly where populations are small. Quite apart from acting as a natural factor limiting shrike populations, increases in the number of non-native predators (e.g., feral cats) and those of other species whose populations are augmented by human activity (e.g., Raccoons, corvids) can pose more direct threats to shrike nesting success.

Post-fledging Mortality

Juvenile mortality following fledging is apparently high, with some studies indicating 33 to 53% mortality occurring within 10 days after fledging (Burton 1990; Collister 1994; Yosef 1996; Chabot *et al.* 2001b). However, other studies (e.g., Blumton 1989) have shown relatively high fledgling survival. It is unclear the extent to which fledgling survival is a limiting factor, but it is probably of local significance in some areas.

Climate Change

Weather conditions can have significant negative effects locally on reproductive success. Bouts of inclement weather, the frequency of which may increase owing to climate change, can lead to nest loss or brood reduction. Porter *et al.* (1975) found that 9 of 12 nests in eastern Colorado were destroyed during severe thunderstorms. Such storms are a frequent source of nest losses on the Great Plains of eastern Colorado (S. Craig, pers. comm. 2012). Nest loss and brood reduction have also been seen following periods of cold and/or wet weather in Canada (Collister and Wilson 2007; A. Chabot, pers. obs.). Although shrikes will often attempt to renest after a failed nesting attempt (Miller 1931; Collister and Wilson 2007b), the level of threat posed by inclement weather increases with its frequency of occurrence.

Disease

West Nile virus has been implicated in the death of Loggerhead Shrikes in Ontario (Bertelsen *et al.* 2004) and Manitoba (Lindgren *et al.* 2009). However, widespread susceptibility to this or any other disease has not been documented, and the severity of the threat is presently unknown.

Other

In shortgrass prairie habitats, cattle can damage or kill the few trees available to nesting shrikes. Populations of *L. l. excubitorides* in southeastern Colorado nest in shrubs within fenced cattle exclosures more often than in areas grazed by cattle (S. Craig, pers. comm. 2012), reflecting the negative effects of grazing on woody vegetation. Further, some loss of eggs and nestlings has been attributed to cattle rubbing against small nest trees, and jostling nest contents to the ground (A. Chabot, pers. obs.).

Number of Locations

For both populations of Loggerhead Shrike, the number of locations is a function of the number of individual landowners who might individually affect breeding populations through changes to habitat. The number of landowners has not been tallied, but given the estimates of numbers of breeding pairs, there would be well over 1000 locations for the Prairie subspecies, but only about 25-50 locations for the Eastern subspecies.

PROTECTION, STATUS, AND RANKS

Legal Protection and Status

The Loggerhead Shrike is protected internationally (Canada, Mexico, USA) by the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, under which it is unlawful to possess eggs, nests, feathers or specimens of the species.

Canada

L. l. excubitorides is listed federally in Canada as Threatened and *L. l. migrans* (herein referred to as the Eastern Loggerhead Shrike) as Endangered under the *Species at Risk Act*. A draft Recovery Strategy has been prepared for the Prairie Loggerhead Shrike. Critical habitat has been identified at the Suffield National Wildlife Area in southeastern Alberta (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2013). Additional critical habitat has been identified in southwestern Saskatchewan and is included in the South of the Divide species at risk action plan, which is in preparation (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2013).

In eastern Canada, a Recovery Strategy (Environment Canada 2010a) has been drafted for the Eastern Loggerhead Shrike. Under the federal strategy, critical habitat has been proposed in Ontario.

The Loggerhead Shrike is listed as a Sensitive Species and a Species of Special Concern in Alberta (Anonymous 2001). It has no legal status in Saskatchewan (http://www.biodiversity.sk.ca/), and is listed as Endangered in Manitoba (www.gov.mb.ca/natres/wildlife/index.html) and Ontario (*Endangered Species Act, 2007*). It is Threatened in Québec (Government of Québec 2000, http://www3.mrnf.vouv.qc.ca/faune/especes/menacees/fiche.asp?noEsp=7), a status which is equivalent to 'endangered' in other jurisdictions.

United States

In the United States, the species is listed as endangered, threatened or a species of special concern in 26 states (Pruitt 2000), and is under review for listing in others (e.g., Tennessee).

Mexico

The Loggerhead Shrike has no special designation in Mexico (Commission for Environmental Cooperation 2000) and does not have any special legal status other than through the *Migratory Birds Convention Act*.

Non-Legal Status and Ranks

As a species, the Loggerhead Shrike was given a Global Status of G4, or Apparently Secure when last reviewed in February 2001. The species was considered to be still widespread and common in some areas despite its decline throughout North America. The species has a National Status of N4 in the United States and N4B in Canada. The species is of Least Concern on the IUCN Red List.

Designations for the states immediately to the south of the Canadian Prairie subspecies are: Montana (S3B), North Dakota (SU), South Dakota (S3S4B), Wyoming (S3), Minnesota (S2B), Wisconsin (S1B), and Michigan (SNR). Designations for the species in the states immediately south of the Eastern subspecies in Canada are: Maine (SHB S1?N), New Hampshire (SHB), Vermont (SHB), New York (S1B), Pennsylvania (SNRB), Ohio (S1), and Indiana (S3B).

Although it has declined significantly over the last century and there is evidence of ongoing declines in some areas, *L. l. excubitorides* was classified globally as G4T4 ('apparently secure') when last reviewed in January 2003. The "Rounded Global Status" is T4 or Apparently Secure. The subspecies has a National Status of NNR in Canada. It is listed as S2B in Manitoba and S3B in Saskatchewan.

Likewise, although it may be quite rare in parts of its range, *L. l. migrans* was classified globally as G4T3Q (apparently secure globally), when last reviewed in October 1999. The "Rounded Global Status" is T3 or Vulnerable due to spotty distribution and precipitous declines throughout the species range. The subspecies has a National Status of N3B, N3N in the United States and NNR in Canada. It is currently designated as S1B in Manitoba and Québec, SNR in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island, and S2B in Ontario. All of the above assessments pre-date more recent knowledge that the Eastern subspecies in Canada is a distinct, unnamed subspecies.

Habitat Protection and Ownership

The vast majority of suitable Loggerhead Shrike habitat in both eastern and western Canada is under private ownership. Significant numbers of Prairie Loggerhead Shrikes occur in the west block of Grasslands National Park and in portions of some federal grazing pastures in Saskatchewan (A. Didiuk, pers. comm. 2012). However, the future of the federal Community Pasture Program in the prairies is currently uncertain and may be in jeopardy (Stewart 2013).

Conservation efforts in the Prairies are primarily directed at identifying and protecting habitat through efforts oriented towards private stewardship, whereby landowners are encouraged to fence off and protect areas that are identified as important nesting and foraging sites. In Alberta, large numbers of buffaloberry shrubs have been planted along the Canadian Pacific railway line in the southeast. There are also various proposals underway in Alberta that strive to protect areas of high shrike density (see Prescott and Bjorge 1999). "Operation Grassland Community" and the "Shrubs for Shrikes" program provide extension work to landowners concerning Loggerhead Shrikes in the Prairies.

In Ontario, Loggerhead Shrike nesting habitat is protected from damage and destruction under the provincial *Endangered Species Act, 2007*. Ontario Parks has protected habitat for the species on the Cameron Ranch and Windmill Ranch in the Carden Plain region – two large properties that are currently proposed as part of a new Provincial Park. In the Napanee Plain, the Nature Conservancy of Canada owns the Napanee Plain Scheck Nature Reserve, where shrikes have nested regularly over the past decade and where release of captive-reared young shrikes was initiated in 2012. In Ontario, both the federal and provincial governments have funded various habitat stewardship initiatives that benefit the Eastern subspecies. Efforts are focused on shrub thinning, fencing, and installation of wells to promote cattle grazing. Projects are undertaken mainly in the Carden and Napanee core breeding areas.

In Québec, the Nature Conservancy of Canada and Bird Protection Québec are joint owners of the Ghost Hill Farm property, largely composed of suitable shrike habitat, on which captive-reared shrikes were released from 2004 to 2009.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AUTHORITIES CONTACTED

Thanks to the many individuals who provided unpublished information on shrikes, and to all reviewers who commented on earlier drafts of this report, including Anna Calvert, Nikki Boucher, Vivian Brownell, Rhonda Donley, Gilles Falardeau, Vicki Friesen, Marcel Gahbauer, Hazel Wheeler, Darren Irwin, Marty Leonard, Marie-France Noel, Kate Pitt, Megan Rasmussen, Chris Risley, Ben Sawa, and Scott Wilson. Particular thanks for information and review are extended to Susan Craig, Ken DeSmet (Manitoba Conservation), Andrew Didiuk (Canadian Wildlife Service), David Fraser (Ministry of Environment Government of British Columbia), Todd Norris (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources), David Prescott (Alberta's Environment and Sustainable Resource Development), François Shaffer (Canadian Wildlife Service), and Ken Tuininga (Canadian Wildlife Service). Alain Filion (Environment Canada) provided GIS services. Funding for the preparation of this report was provided by Environment Canada.

AUTHORITIES CONTACTED

Luc Belanger, Environment Canada

Bill Bristol, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Vivian Brownell, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources

Ken DeSmet, Manitoba Conservation

Andrew Didiuk, Canadian Wildlife Service, Chair, Prairie Loggerhead Shrike Recovery Team

Dave Duncan, Canadian Wildlife Service.

Gilles Falardeau, Environment Canada

David Fraser, Ministry of the Environment of British Columbia

Nicole Fergus, Nature Saskatchewan

Chris Friesen, Manitoba Conservation Data Centre, Manitoba Conservation

Isabelle Gauthier, Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec

Kerry Grisley, Operation Grassland Community, Alberta Fish and Game Association

Tara Imlay, Wildlife Preservation Canada, Ontario

David Ingstrup, Canadian Wildlife Service

Neil Jones, COSEWIC Secretariat, Environment Canada

Angela McConnell, Canadian Wildlife Service

Patrick Nantel, Parks Canada

Todd Norris, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources

Annie Paquet, Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec

Jeanette Pepper, Saskatchewan Ministry of the Environment

Gigi Pittoello, Saskatchewan Ministry of the Environment

Dave Prescott, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development

Richard Quinlan, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development

Agnes Richards, Canadian Wildlife Service

Jean-Pierre Savard, Environment Canada

Ben Sawa, Saskatchewan Ministry of the Environment

Sonia Schnobb, COSEWIC Secretariat, Environment Canada

François Shaffer, Canadian Wildlife Service

Don Sutherland, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources

Katrina Stipec, Ministry of the Environment of British Columbia

Benjamin Taylor, Department of National Defence

Junior A. Tremblay, Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec

Ken Tuininga, Canadian Wildlife Service, Chair, Eastern Loggerhead Shrike Recovery Team

Drogomir Drajs Vujnovic, Alberta Tourism Parks and Recreation

BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARY OF REPORT WRITER

Dr. Amy Chabot completed her B.Sc. in 1991 and M.Sc. in 1994 at McGill University. Her M.Sc. focused on the reproductive biology and habitat selection of Loggerhead Shrikes in Ontario and Québec. In 2010, she completed her PhD at Queen's University, investigating the impact of migration on evolution and conservation of passerines in North America, by focusing on the genetic population structure and migratory behaviour of Loggerhead Shrikes. She continues to research the ecology of shrikes in Canada and the United States, with an emphasis on seasonal interactions and wintering ground population dynamics, and has served as a scientific advisor to the Eastern Loggerhead Shrike Recovery Team since 1994. Dr. Chabot has worked as a biologist in various capacities, including as an independent biological consultant for a variety of government and non-government agencies, and as a staff member of Bird Studies Canada, New Hampshire Audubon Society, North American Loon Fund and the Society for Protection of New Hampshire Forests.

INFORMATION SOURCES

Anderson, W.L. and R.E. Duzan. 1978. DDE residues and eggshell thinning in Loggerhead Shrikes. Wilson Bulletin 90:215-220.

Anonymous. 2001. The general status of Alberta wild species in 2000. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Edmonton, Alberta.

Askins, R.A., F. Chavez-Ramirez, B.C. Dale, C.A. Haas, J.R. Herkert, F.L. Knopf and P.D. Vickery. 2007. Conservation of grassland birds in North America: understanding ecological processes in different regions. Ornithological Monographs 64:1-46.

Bakowsky, W. and J.L. Riley. 1994. A survey of the prairies and savannas of southern Ontario. Pp. 7-16, in R. Wickett, P. Dolan-Lewis, A. Woodliffe and P. Pratt (eds). Proceedings of the Thirteenth North American Prairie Conference: Spirit of the Land, Our Prairie Legacy. August 6-9, 1992, Windsor: Corporation of the City of Windsor.

Bartgis, R. 1992. Loggerhead Shrike, *Lanius ludovicianus*. Pages 281-297 *in* K.J. Schneider and D.M. Pence, eds. Migratory nongame birds of management concern in the Northeast. U.S. Dept. Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Newton Corner, Massachusetts.

Bent, A.C. 1950. Life histories of North American Wagtails, Shrikes, Vireos and Their Allies. U. S. National Museum Bulletin 197.

Berlanga, H., J.A. Kennedy, T.D. Rich, M.C. Arizmendi, C.J. Beardmore, P.J. Blancher, G.S. Butcher, A.R. Couturier, A.A. Dayer, D.W. Demarest, W.E. Eason, M. Gustafson, E. Iñigo-Elias, E.A. Krebs, A.O. Panjabi, V. Rodriguez Contreras, K.V. Rosenberg, J.M. Ruth, E. Santana Castellón, R. Ma. Vidal, and T. Will. 2010. Saving Our Shared Birds: Partners in Flight Tri-National Vision for Landbird Conservation. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY.

Bertelsen, M.F, R.A. Olberg, G.J. Crawshaw, A. Dibernardo, L.R. Lindsay, M. Drebot and I.K. Barker. 2004. West Nile virus infection in the eastern Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus migrans*): Pathology, epidemiology and immunization. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 40:538-542.

Bjorge, R.R. and H. Kiliaan. 1997. Densities of Loggerhead Shrikes on study blocks in southeastern Alberta inventoried in both 1993 and 1996. Blue Jay 55:217-219.

Bjorge, R.R. and D.R.C. Prescott. 1996. Population estimate and habitat associations of the Loggerhead Shrike, *Lanius ludovicianus*, in southeastern Alberta. Canadian Field Naturalist 110:445-449.

Blumton, A.K. 1989. Factors affecting Loggerhead Shrike mortality in Virginia. Master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnique Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.

Boal, C.W., T.S. Estabrook and A.E. Duerr. 2003. Productivity and breeding habitat of Loggerhead Shrikes in a southwestern urban environment. Southwestern Naturalist 48:557-52.

Brooks, B.L. 1988. The breeding distribution, population dynamics, and habitat availability and suitability of an upper Midwest Loggerhead Shrike population. M.Sc. thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

Brooks, B.L. and S.A. Temple. 1990a. Habitat availability and sustainability for Loggerhead Shrikes in the Upper Midwest. American Midland Naturalist 123:75-83.

Brooks, B.L. and S.A. Temple. 1990b. Dynamics of a Loggerhead Shrike population in Minnesota. Wilson Bulletin 102:441-450.

Brownell, V.R. and J.L. Riley. 2000. The Alvars of Ontario: Significant Natural Areas in the Ontario Great Lakes Region. Federation of Ontario Naturalists.

Burnside, F. 1987. Long-distance movements in Loggerhead Shrikes. Journal of Field Ornithology 58:62-65.

Burnside, K.M. 2006. Molts, plumages, and age classes of passerines and "near-passerines": a bander's overview. North American Bird Bander 31:175-193.

Burton, K.M. 1990. An investigation of population status and breeding biology of the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Indiana. MSc. Thesis, Indiana Univ. Bloomington.

Burton, K.M. and D.R. Whitehead. 1990. An investigation of population status and breeding biology of the Loggerhead Shrike in Indiana. Unpubl. report to the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program.

Busbee, E.L. 1977. The effects of dieldrin on the behavior of young Loggerhead Shrikes. Auk 94:28-35.

Cade, T.J. 1995. Shrikes as predators. Pages 1-5 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (*Laniidae*) of the World: Biology and Conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Cade, T.J. and C.P. Woods. 1997. Changes in distribution and abundance of Loggerhead Shrike. Conservation Biology 11:21-31.

Cadman, M.D. 1985. Status report on the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa, Ontario.

Cadman, M.D., P.F.J. Eagles, and F.M. Helleiner (eds.). 1987. Atlas of Breeding Birds of Ontario. University of Waterloo Press, Waterloo, Ontario.

Cadman, M.D. 1990. Update status report on the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Canada. COSEWIC, Ottawa.

Cadman, M.D., D.A. Sutherland, G.G. Beck, D. Lepage and A.R. Couturier (eds.). 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario, 2001-2005. Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Ornithologists, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Ontario Nature, Toronto.

Campbell, C. 1975. Distribution and breeding success of the Loggerhead Shrike in southern Ontario. Canadian Wildlife Service, Report Number 6065.

Catling, P.M. 2008. The extent and floristic composition of the Rice Lake Plains based on remnants. Canadian Field-Naturalist 122:1-20.

Catling, P.M. and V.R. Brownell. 1999. Additional notes on the vegetation of dry openings along the Trent River, Ontario. Canadian Field-Naturalist 113:506-509.

Chabot, A. 2009. Loggerhead Shrike Ontario 2009 Adult Banding Summary. Unpubl. report for Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Region.

Chabot, A. 2010. 2010 Loggerhead Shrike Banding Summary. Unpubl. report for Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Region.

Chabot, A.A. 2011a. The impact of migration on the evolutionary and conservation of an endemic North America passerine: Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*). Dissertation, Queen's University.

Chabot, A. 2011b. 2011 Ontario Loggerhead Shrike Banding Summary. Unpubl. report for Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario Region.

Chabot, A.A., D.M. Bird and R.D. Titman. 2001a. Breeding biology and nesting success of Loggerhead Shrikes in Ontario. Wilson Bulletin 113:285-289.

Chabot, A.A., R.D. Titman and D.M. Bird. 2001b. Habitat use by Loggerhead Shrikes in Ontario and Québec. Canadian Journal of Zoology 79:916-925.

Chabot, A.A. and E. Lagois. 2012. Analysis of Loggerhead Shrike habitat associations and grassland bird species assemblages in Ontario. Unpubl. report for Wildlife Preservation Canada.

Chabot, A.A. and S.C. Lougheed. 2005. Conservation genetics of the eastern Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario. Unpubl. report for the Endangered Species Recovery Fund.

Collins, J.A. 1996. Breeding and wintering ecology of the Loggerhead Shrike in southern Illinois. M.Sc. thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois.

Collins, H.L., G.P. Markin, and J. Davis. 1974. Residue accumulation in selected vertebrates following a single aerial application of mirex bait, Louisiana – 1971-72. Pesticides Monitoring Journal 8:125-130.

Collister, D.M. 1994. Breeding ecology and habitat preservation of the Loggerhead Shrike in southeastern Alberta. Master's thesis, Univ. of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.

Collister, D.M. 1999. Update Status Report, Loggerhead Shrike Western Canadian Population. Unpubl. COSEWIC report, Ottawa.

Collister, D.M. 2013. Annual adult survival of Loggerhead Shrikes in southeastern Alberta. North American Bird Bander 38:97-105.

Collister, D.M. and D. Wicklum. 1996. Intraspecific variation in Loggerhead Shrikes: sexual dimorphism and implication for subspecies classification. Auk 113:221-223.

Collister, D.M. and K. DeSmet. 1997. Breeding and natal dispersal in the Loggerhead Shrike. Journal of Field Ornithology. 68:273-282.

Collister, D.M. and S. Wilson. 2007a. Territory size and foraging habitat of Loggerhead Shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in southeastern Alberta. Journal of Raptor Research 41:130-138.

Collister, D.M. and S. Wilson. 2007b. Contributions of weather and predation to reduced breeding success in a threatened northern Loggerhead Shrike population. Avian Conservation and Ecology 2(2):11.

Commission for Environmental Cooperation. 2008. The North American Mosaic: An overview of key environmental isues. Secretariate of the Commission for Environmental Cooperation. http://www.cec.org/soe.

Coxon A., A.A. Chabot, S.C. Lougheed, J.A. Dávila and B.W. White. 2011. Characterization of 17 microsatellite loci from the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*). Conservervation Genetics Resources. DOI 10.1007/s12686-011-9585-2.

Craig, R.B. 1978. An analysis of the predatory behavior of the Loggerhead Shrike. Auk 95:221-234.

Craig, S. and A. Chabot. 2012. A study of wintering Loggerhead Shrikes in the Texas Gulf Coastal Plain. Colorado Birds 46:121-126.

Cuddy, D. 1995. Protection and restoration of breeding habitat for the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Ontario, Canada. Pages 283-286 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (*Laniidae*) of the world: biology and conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Cuddy, D. and B. Leviton. 1996. Habitat availability and supply analysis for Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario. Draft. Unpubl. report for Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources.

DeGeus, D.W. 1990. Productivity and habitat preferences of Loggerhead Shrikes inhabiting roadsides in a midwestern agro-environment. Master's thesis, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.

DeGeus, D.W. and L.B. Best. 1991. Brown-headed Cowbirds parasitize Loggerhead Shrikes: first records for family Laniidae. Wilson Bulletin 103:504-506.

Didiuk, A., J. Conkin, K. Majewski, R. Greuel and D. Prescott. 2014. The 2013 Prairie Loggerhead Shrike Survey in Saskatchewan. Technical Report Series, Canadian Wildlife Service, Saskatoon SK.

Dunning, J.B. Jr. 1993. CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.

Environment Canada. 2010a. Recovery Strategy for the Loggerhead Shrike, *migrans* subspecies (*Lanius ludovicianus migrans*), in Canada [Proposed]. *Species at Risk Act* Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa.

Environment Canada, 2010b. North American Breeding Bird Survey - Canadian Results and Analysis Website version 3.00. Environment Canada, Gatineau, Québec.

Environment Canada. 2013. North American Breeding Bird Survey - Canadian Trends Website, Data-version 2011. Environment Canada, Gatineau, Québec, K1A 0H3

Erskine, A.J. 1992. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of the Maritime Provinces. Nimbus Publ., and the Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Esley, J.D. and E.K. Bollinger. 2001. Habitat selection and reproductive success of Loggerhead Shrikes in northwestern Missouri: A hierarchical approach. Wilson Bulletin 113:290-296.

Etterson, M.A. 2003. Conspecific attraction in Loggerhead Shrikes: implications for habitat conservation and reintroduction. Biological Conservation 114:199-205.

Etterson, M.A. 2004. Parentage in an Oklahoma population of Loggerhead Shrike assessed using nuclear microsatellites. Condor 106:401-404.

Etterson, M.A., L R. Nagy, and T.R. Robinson. 2007. Partitioning risk among different causes of nest failure. Auk 124:432–443.

Flickinger, E. L. 1995. Loggerhead fatalities on a highway in Texas. Pages 67-69 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (*Laniidae*) of the World: Biology and Conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Fraser, J.D. and D.R. Luukkonen. 1986. The Loggerhead Shrike. Pages 933-941 *in* R.L. DeSilvestro, ed. Audubon Wildlife Report – 1986. National Audubon Society, New York, New York.

Gauthier, J. and Y. Aubry. (eds.). 1996. The Breeding Birds of Québec: Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Québec. Assoc. Québecoise des groupes d'ornithologues, Province of Québec Society for the Protection of Birds, Can. Wildlife Service, Environment Canada, Québec Region, Montreal.

Gawlik, D.E. and K.L. Bildstein. 1990. Reproductive success and nesting habitat of Loggerhead Shrikes in north-central South Carolina. Wilson Bulletin 102:37-48.

Gawlik, D. and K.L. Bildstein. 1993. Seasonal habitat use and abundance of Loggerhead Shrikes in South Carolina. Journal Wildlife Management 57:352-357.

Glynn-Morris, M. 2010. Breeding habitat selection by the Loggerhead Shrike in Ontario: a hierarchical analysis. B.Sc. Thesis, Department of Biology, Queen's University, Ontario.

Government of Ontario. 2007. Endangered Species Act 2007. Available on E-law.

Government of Québec. 2000. C.O. 377-2000, 29 March 2000. An Act Respecting Threatened or Vulnerable Species (R.S.Q., c. E-12.01). Gazette officielle du Québec April 12, 2000, Vol. 132, No. 15.

Grenier, M., B. Jobin, and P. Laporte. 1999. A GIS approach to evaluating Loggerhead Shrike habitat availability in southern Québec, Canada. Picoides 12:6-7.

Haas, C.A. 1987. Eastern subspecies of the Loggerhead Shrike: The need for measurements of live birds. North American Bird Bander 12:99-102.

Haas, C.A. and S.L. Sloane. 1989. Low return rates of migratory Loggerhead Shrikes: winter mortality or low site fidelity? Wilson Bulletin 101:458-460.

Hands, H.M., R.D. Drobney and M.R. Ryan. 1989. Status of the Loggerhead Shrike in the northcentral United States. Missouri Coop. Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Missouri.

Herkert, J. 2004. Organochlorine pesticides are not implicated in the decline of the Loggerhead Shrike. Condor 106:702-705.

Hobson, K.A. and L.I. Wassenaar. 1997. Linking breeding and wintering grounds of Neotropical migrant songbirds using stable hydrogen isotopic analysis of feathers. Oecologia 109:142-148.

Imlay, T.I, J.F. Crowley, A.M. Argue, J.C. Steiner, D.R. Norris and B.J.M. Stutchbury. 2010. Survival, dispersal and early migration movements of captive-bred juvenile eastern Loggerhead Shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus migrans*). Biological Conservation 143:2578-2582.

Imlay, T.I. and J. Lapierre. 2012. Population monitoring and field propagation and release programs. Unpubl. report for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Canadian Wildlife Service.

Jobin, B., J.-L. DesGranges and C. Boutin. 1996. Population trends in selected species of farmland birds in relation to recent developments in agriculture in the St. Lawrence Valley. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 57:103-116.

Jobin, B, M. Grenier, and P. Laporte. 2005. Using satellite imagery to assess breeding habitat availability of the endangered Loggerhead Shrike in Québec. Biodiversity and Conservation 14:81-95.

Jobin, B., C. Latendresse, M. Grenier, C. Maisonneuve and A. Sebbane. 2010. Recent landscape change at the ecoregion scale in Southern Québec (Canada), 1993-2011. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 164:631-647.

Jones, Z.F. and C.E. Bock. 2002. Conservation of grassland birds in an urbanizing landscape: a historical perspective. Condor 104:643-651.

Kiliaan, H. and D.R.C. Prescott. 2002. Survey of the Loggerhead Shrike in the Southern Aspen Parkland Region, 2000-2001. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division, Alberta Species at Risk Report No. 46, Edmonton, Alberta.

Kridelbaugh, A.L. 1982. An ecological study of Loggerhead Shrikes in central Missouri. M.Sc. thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia.

Lefranc, N. 1997. Shrikes: A Guide to Shrikes of the World. Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut.

Lindgren, C.J., R. Postey, K. De Smet, C. Higgs and A.B. Thompon. 2009. West Nile virus as a cause of death among endangered eastern Loggerhead Shrikes, *Lanius ludovicianus migrans*, in West St. Paul, Manitoba. Canadian Field Naturalist 123:7-11.

Lohrer, F.E. 1974. Post-hatching growth and development of the Loggerhead Shrike in Florida. M.Sc. thesis, University of South Florida, Tampa.

Luukkonen, D.R. 1987. Status and breeding ecology of the Loggerhead Shrike in Virginia. Master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnique Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia.

Lymn, N., and S.A. Temple. 1991. Land-use changes in the Gulf coast region: links to declines in midwestern Loggerhead Shrike populations. Passenger Pigeon 53:315-325.

Michaels, H.L. and J.F. Cully. 1998. Landscape and fine scale habitat associations of the Loggerhead Shrike. Wilson Bulletin 110:474-482.

Miller, A. H. 1928. The molts of the Loggerhead Shrike *Lanius ludovicianus* Linneaus. University of California Publications in Zoology 30:393-417.

Miller, A.H. 1931. Systematic revision and natural history of the American shrikes (*Lanius*). University of California Publications in Zoology 38:11-242.

Miller, A. H. 1951. A comparison of the avifaunas of Santa Cruz and Santa Rosa islands, California. Condor 53:117-123.

Mundy, N.I., C.S. Winchell, T. Burr and D.S. Woodruff. 1997. Microsatellite variation and microevolution in the critically endangered San Clemente Island Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus mearnsi).* Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B 264:869-875.

Novak, P.G. 1989. Breeding ecology and status of the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in New York state. M.Sc. thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.

Nur, N., A.L. Holmes, and G.R. Geupel. 2004. Use of survival time analysis to analyze nesting success in birds: an example using Loggerhead Shrikes. Condor 106:457–471.

Okines, D. and J. McCracken. 2003. Loggerhead Shrike Banding in Ontario: Report on the 2003 Field Season. Unpubl. report prepared for the Canadian Wildlife Service.

Okines, D. and J. McCracken. 2005. Loggerhead Shrike Banding in Ontario: Report on the 2004 Field Season. Unpubl. report prepared for the Canadian Wildlife Service.

Peakall, R. and P.E. Smouse. 2006. GENALEX 6: Genetic Analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Molecular Ecology Notes 6:288-295.

Peck, G.K. and R.D. James. 1987. Breeding Birds of Ontario Nidiology and Distribution. Volume 2: Passerines. Royal Ontario Museum.

Pérez, G.E. 2006. Migratory connectivity and wintering habitat structure of Loggerhead Shrikes: inferences from stable hydrogen isotope and microsatellite DNA analysis. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan.

Pérez, G. and K.A. Hobson. 2006. Isotopic evaluation of interrupted molt of northern breeding populations of the Loggerhead Shrike. Condor 108:877-886.

Pérez, G. and K.A. Hobson. 2007. Feather deuterium measurement reveal origins of migratory western Loggerhead Shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*) wintering in Mexico. Diversity and Distributions 13:166-171.

Pérez, G.E. and K.A. Hobson. 2009. Winter habitat use by Loggerhead Shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Mexico: separating migrants from residents using stable isotopes. Journal of Field Ornithology 150:459-467.

Peterjohn, B.G. and J.R. Sauer. 1995. Population trends of the Loggerhead Shrike from the North American Breeding Bird Survey. Pages 117-121 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (*Laniidae*) of the World: Biology and Conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Phillips, A.R. 1986. The Known Birds of North and Middle America. Part I. Privately published, Denver, Colorado.

Porter, D.K., M.A. Strong, J.B. Giezentanner, and R.A. Ryder. 1975. Nest ecology, productivity and growth of the Loggerhead Shrike on the shortgrass prairie. Southwestern Naturalist 19:429-436.

Prescott, D.R.C. 2003. The use of call playbacks for censusing Loggerhead Shrikes in southern Alberta. Alberta Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Division. Alberta Species at Risk Report No. 67, Edmonton, AB.

Prescott, D.R.C. 2013. The 2013 Loggerhead Shrike survey in Alberta. Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, Fish and Wildlife Policy Branch. Alberta Species at Risk Report No. 151, Edmonton, AB.

Prescott, D.R.C. and R.R. Bjorge. 1999. Status of the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in Alberta. Alberta Natural Resources Services, Edmonton, AB.

Prescott, D. R. C. and D. M. Collister. 1993. Characteristics of occupied and unoccupied Loggerhead Shrike territories in southeastern Alberta. Journal of Wildlife Management 57:346-352.

Pruitt, L. 2000. Loggerhead Shrike Status Assessment. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Fort Snelling, Minnesota.

Rand, A.L. 1960. Family Laniidae. Pages 309-365 *in* E. Mayr and J.C. Greenway, Jr., eds. Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. 9. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Reschke, C., R. Reid, J. Jones, T. Feeney, and H. Potter. 1999. Conserving Great Lakes Alvars. The Nature Conservancy, Great Lakes Program, Chicago, Illinois.

Robbins, S.D. 1991. Wisconsin Birdlife. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.

Robbins, C.S., D. Bystrak, and P.H. Geissler. 1986. The Breeding Bird Survey: Its First Fifteen Years, 1965-1979. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Resource Publication.

Robert, M and P. Laporte. 1991. History and current status of the Loggerhead Shrike in Québec. Canadian Wildlife Service Progress Notes No. 196.

Rudd, P.L. R.B. Craig, and W.S. Williams. 1981. Trophic accumulation of DDT in a terrestrial food web. Environmental Pollut. Serv. A Ecol. Biol. 25:219-228.

Salt, W.R. and A.L. Wilk. 1958. The Birds of Alberta. Queen's Printer, Edmonton, AB.

Samson, F.B. and F.L. Knopf. 1994. Prairie conservation in North America. BioScience 44:418-421.

Samson, F.B., F.L. Knopf and W.R. Ostlie. 2004. Great Plains ecosystems: past, present and future. Wildlife Society Bulletin 32:6-15.

Sauer, J. R., J. E. Hines, J. E. Fallon, K. L. Pardieck, D. J. Ziolkowski, Jr., and W. A. Link. 2011. *The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966 - 2010. Version 12.07.2011* USGS Patuxent Wildllife Research Center, Laurel, Maryland.

Scott, T.A. and M.L. Morrison. 1990. Natural history and management of the San Clemente Loggerhead Shrike. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 4:23-57.

Scott, T.A. and M.L. Morrison. 1995. Opportunistic foraging behavior of Loggerhead Shrikes. Pages 186-193 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (*Laniidae*) of the World: Biology and Conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Siegel, M.S. 1980. The nesting ecology and population dynamics of the Loggerhead Shrike in the blackbelt of Alabama. M.Sc. thesis, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa.

Smith, S.M. 1972. The ontogeny of impaling behaviour in the Loggerhead Shrike, *Lanius ludovicianus*. Behaviour 42:232-247.

Smith, E.L. 1990. Factors influencing distribution and abundance of the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in south-central Illinois. Unpubl. report from Eastern Illinois University, Environmental Biology Program, Charlestown, Illinois.

Smith, A.R. 1996. Atlas of Saskatchewan Birds. Environment Canada and Nature Saskatchewan, Regina.

Smith, K. 1991. Brown Thrashers harass fledgling Loggerhead Shrikes. Bulletin of the Oklahoma Ornithological Society 24:34-35.

Soendjoto, M.A. 1995. Vocalization behaviour of captive Loggerhead Shrikes (*Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*). M.Sc. thesis, Macdonald Campus of McGill University, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Québec.

SOS-POP. 2011. Banque de données sur populations d'oiseaux en situation précaire au Québec [version du 30 Novembre 2011]. Regroupment QuébecOiseaux, Montréal, Québec.

St. Laurent, K., A. Didiuk, L. Craig-Moore and B. Johns. 2013. Prairie Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus excubitorides*) Saskatchewan five-year survey summary: 1987-2013. Unpubl. report, Canadian Wildlife Service, Saskatoon, SK.

St. Louis, V., A.M. Pidgeon, M.K. Clayton, B.A. Locke, D. Bash and V.C. Radeloff. 2010. Habitat variables explain Loggerhead Shrike occurrence in the northern Chihuahuan Desert but are poor correlates of fitness measures. Landscape Ecology 25:643-654.

Stewart, L. 2013. Prairie grasslands in peril? BirdWatch Canada 65:4-7.

Telfer, E.S. 1992. Habitat change as a factor in the decline of the western Canadian Loggerhead Shrike, *Lanius ludovicianus*, population. Canadian Field Naturalist 106:321-326.

Telfer, E.S, C. Adam, K. DeSmet and R. Wershler. 1989. Status and distribution of the Loggerhead Shrike in western Canada. Canadian Wildlife Service Progress Notes No. 184.

Temple, S.A. 1995. Shrike research and conservation. Pages 296-298 *in* R. Yosef and F.E. Lohrer, eds. Shrikes (Laniidae) of the World: Biology and Conservation. Proceedings of the Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology 6.

Tischendorf, L. 2009. Population viability analysis of the eastern Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus migrans*). Unpubl. report for the Canadian Wildlife Service – Ontario, Environment Canada.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1984. California Channel Islands Species Recovery Plan.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1987. Migratory nongame birds of management concern in the U.S.: the 1987 list. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Migratory Bird Management, Washington, D.C.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1995. Migratory nongame birds of management concern in the U.S.: the 1995 list. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Migratory Bird Management, Washington, D.C.

Vallianatos, M., S.C. Lougheed and P.T. Boag. 2001. Phylogeography and genetic characteristics of a putative secondary-contact zone of the Loggerhead Shrike in central and eastern North America. Canadian Journal of Zoology 79:2221-2227.

Vallianatos, M., S.C. Lougheed and P.T. Boag. 2002. Conservation genetics of the Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) in central and eastern North America. Conservation Genetics 3:1-13.

Walk, J.W., E.L. Kershner, and R.E. Warner. Low nesting success of Loggerhead Shrikes in an agricultural landscape. Wilson Journal of Ornithology 118:70-74.

Woods, C.P. 1994. The Loggerhead Shrike in southwest Idaho. Master's thesis, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.

Wright, S. 1943. Isolation by distance. Genetics 28:114-138.

Yosef, R. 1992. Behaviour of polygynous and monogamous Loggerhead Shrikes and a comparison with Northern Shrikes. Wilson Bulletin 104:747-749.

Yosef, R. 1994. The effects of fencelines on the reproductive success of Loggerhead Shrikes. Conservation Biology 8:281-285.

Yosef, R. 1996. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*). *In* The Birds of North America, No. 231 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Academy of Natural Science, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and The American Ornithological Union, Washingston, D.C.

Yosef, R. and T.C. Grubb, Jr. 1993. Effect of vegetation height on hunting behavior and diet of Loggerhead Shrikes. Condor 95:127-131.